

Sum-of-squares hierarchies for polynomial optimization

Lucas Slot Monique Laurent

November 26, 2021

CWI



MINOA

MIXED-INTEGER NON-LINEAR OPTIMISATION:
ALGORITHMS AND APPLICATIONS

We consider the problem of computing:

$$f_{\min} := \min_{x \in \mathbf{X}} f(x), \quad (\text{POP})$$

where:

- ▶ $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a **polynomial** of degree d .
- ▶ $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(x) \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in [m]\}$ is a compact **semialgebraic** set.

In this talk:

- ▶ **Binary hypercube:** $\{0, 1\}^n = \{x : 1 - x_i^2 = 0 \text{ for } i \in [n]\}$
- ▶ **Hypersphere:** $S^{n-1} = \{x : 1 - \|x\|^2 = 0\}$
- ▶ **Ball:** $B^n = \{x : 1 - \|x\|^2 \geq 0\}$
- ▶ **Simplex:** $\Delta^n = \{x : x \geq 0, 1 - \sum_i x_i \geq 0\}$

Sum-of-squares hierarchies

The problem (POP) can be reformulated as:

$$f_{\min} = \max\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : f - \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(S)\}, \quad \mathcal{P}(S) := \{p : p(x) \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in S\}$$

- ▶ Relax by choosing a smaller and simpler set $Q \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$:

$$f_{\min} \geq \max\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : f - \lambda \in Q\}$$

- ▶ We get the **Putinar**-type hierarchy $f_{\text{sos},r} \leq f_{\min}$ if we choose the **quadratic module**:

$$Q = \mathcal{Q}_r(S) := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m g_i \sigma_i : \sigma_i \in \Sigma[x], \deg(g_i \sigma_i) \leq 2r \right\}$$

- ▶ We get the **Schmüdgen**-type hierarchy $\bar{f}_{\text{sos},r} \leq f_{\min}$ if we choose the **preordering**:

$$Q = \mathcal{T}_r(S) := \left\{ \sum_{I \subseteq [m]} g_I \sigma_I : \sigma_I \in \Sigma[x], \deg(g_I \sigma_I) \leq 2r \right\} \quad (g_I := \prod_{i \in I} g_i)$$

Convergence of the hierachies

We know that:

- ▶ $f_{\text{sos},r} \rightarrow f_{\text{min}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for **Archimedean** S . (Putinar's Positivstellensatz)
- ▶ $\bar{f}_{\text{sos},r} \rightarrow f_{\text{min}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for **compact** S . (Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz)

Question: Can we quantify this convergence? That is, can we analyze the errors:

$$f_{\text{min}} - f_{\text{sos},r} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\text{min}} - \bar{f}_{\text{sos},r} \quad ?$$

Convergence of the hierarchies

S	relaxation	order of convergence	reference
'compact'	\mathcal{Q}_r	$1/(\log r/c)^c$ ($c > 0$)	[Schweighofer, 2004]
compact	\mathcal{T}_r	$1/r^c$ ($c > 0$)	[Nie, Schweighofer, 2007]
$[-1, 1]^n$	\mathcal{T}_r	$1/r$	[de Klerk, Laurent, 2010]
$[-1, 1]^n$	\mathcal{T}_r	$1/r^2$	[Laurent, S., 2021]
$\{0, 1\}^n$	$\mathcal{Q}_r (= \mathcal{T}_r)$	'Krawtchouk'	[Laurent, S., 2021]
S^{n-1}	$\mathcal{Q}_r (= \mathcal{T}_r)$	$1/r^2$	[Fang, Fawzi, 2020]
B^n	$\mathcal{Q}_r (= \mathcal{T}_r)$	$1/r^2$	[S., 2021]
Δ^n	\mathcal{T}_r	$1/r$	[Kirschner, de Klerk, 2021]
Δ^n	\mathcal{T}_r	$1/r^2$	[S., 2021]

The polynomial kernel method

The convergence rates in $O(1/r^2)$ for $\{0, 1\}^n$, S^{n-1} , B^n and Δ^n are all established using the same proof technique:

1. Make use of **Fourier analysis** and **reproducing kernels** to define a parameter:

$$f_{\text{harm},q} \leq f_{\text{min}},$$

which depends on a given **univariate sum of squares** q .

2. Show that if q has degree $2r$, we then have:

$$f_{\text{harm},q} \leq f_{\text{sos},r} \leq f_{\text{min}}$$

3. Use results on the **roots of orthogonal polynomials** to find q with:

$$f_{\text{harm},q} - f_{\text{min}} = O(1/r^2).$$

$$\implies f_{\text{sos},r} - f_{\text{min}} = O(1/r^2).$$

Orthogonal decompositions

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a **compact set** and μ a **finite Borel measure** supported on \mathbf{X} .
- ▶ We have an inner product on the space \mathcal{R} of polynomials on \mathbf{X} :

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \int_{\mathbf{X}} p(\mathbf{x})q(\mathbf{x})d\mu(\mathbf{x}).$$

- ▶ We write $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ for an **orthonormal basis** of \mathcal{R} with $\deg(P_\alpha) = |\alpha|$.
- ▶ The space \mathcal{R} decomposes as:

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k, \quad H_k := \text{span}\{P_\alpha : |\alpha| = k\}$$

- ▶ A polynomial $p \in \mathcal{R}$ can be written as: $p = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_d$, $p_k \in H_k$.

Example

For the hypersphere S^{n-1} with the uniform probability measure, we have:

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{Harm}_k, \quad \text{Harm}_k := \text{span}\{p : p \text{ is homogeneous, harmonic of degree } k\}$$

Kernel operators

- ▶ A **kernel** $K : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on \mathbf{X} induces a linear operator $\mathbf{K} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ via:

$$\mathbf{K}p(\mathbf{x}) = \langle K(\mathbf{x}, \cdot), p(\cdot) \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{X}} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})p(\mathbf{y})d\mu(\mathbf{y}).$$

- ▶ The kernel $CD_d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} P_\alpha(\mathbf{x})P_\alpha(\mathbf{y})$ has the property that:

$$CD_d p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (p \text{ has degree } d).$$

- ▶ This kernel CD_d is called the **reproducing kernel** or **Christoffel-Darboux kernel** of degree d .
- ▶ For highly symmetrical \mathbf{X} , the kernel $CD_d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ has a simpler expression.

Example (Funk-Hecke formula)

For the hypersphere S^{n-1} with the uniform probability measure, we have:

$$CD_d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{k=0}^d \mathcal{G}_k^n(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}),$$

where \mathcal{G}_k^n is the **Gegenbauer polynomial** of degree k (and parameter n).

The harmonic bound on S^{n-1}

- ▶ Let $f \in \mathcal{R}$ be a polynomial of degree d , to be minimized on S^{n-1} .
- ▶ Consider a univariate polynomial:

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2r} \hat{q}_k \mathcal{G}_k^n(x) \quad (\hat{q}_k \neq 0 \text{ for } k \leq d).$$

- ▶ Define the kernel $\mathbf{K}_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := q(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ on S^{n-1} .
- ▶ By the Funk-Hecke formula, we have:

$$\mathbf{K}_q f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^d \hat{q}_k f_k(\mathbf{x}),$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^d (1/\hat{q}_k) f_k(\mathbf{x}).$$

- ▶ If the $\hat{q}_k \approx 1$, then \mathbf{K}_q approximates the Christoffel-Darboux kernel (in some sense).

The harmonic bound on S^{n-1} (cont.)

Proposition (Fang and Fawzi, 2020)

Suppose that q is a *sum of squares* of degree $2r$, and that $\hat{q}_0 = 1$. Then we have:

$$f_{\text{harm},q} := \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}} \mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f_{\text{sos},r}.$$

Proof.

The kernel $K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = q(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ lies in the quadratic module $\mathcal{Q}_r(S^{n-1})$ for fixed \mathbf{y} . This gives a representation of $f - f_{\text{harm},q}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_r(S^{n-1})$ via:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\text{harm},q} &= \mathbf{K}_q \mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\text{harm},q} \\ &= \mathbf{K}_q (\mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\text{harm},q}) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \underbrace{K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}_{\in \mathcal{Q}_r(S^{n-1})} \cdot \underbrace{(\mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{y}) - f_{\text{harm},q})}_{\geq 0} d\mu(\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

□

This does **not** give an **explicit** representation of $f(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\text{harm},q}$.

Analyzing the bound on S^{n-1}

Theorem (Fang and Fawzi, 2020)

For $r \geq d$, there exist a *sum of squares* q of degree $2r$ with $\hat{q}_0 = 1$ and:

$$f_{\min} - f_{\text{harm},q} = f_{\min} - \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}} \mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) = O(1/r^2).$$

As a result: $f_{\min} - f_{\text{sos},r} = O(1/r^2)$.

Proof.

Recall that: $\mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^d (1/\hat{q}_k) f_k(\mathbf{x})$. Therefore, for any $\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x})| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^d (1 - 1/\hat{q}_k) f_k(\mathbf{x}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^d |1 - 1/\hat{q}_k| \cdot \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}} |f_k(\mathbf{x})|. \end{aligned}$$

So: $f_{\min} - f_{\text{harm},q} \leq \sum_{k=0}^d |1 - 1/\hat{q}_k| \cdot \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}} |f_k(\mathbf{x})|$.

Analyzing the bound on S^{n-1}

Proof (cont.)

It remains to analyze $\sum_{k=0}^d |1 - 1/\widehat{q}_k|$ and $\max_{\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}} |f_k(\mathbf{x})|$.

Claim: There exists a sum of squares q of degree $2r$ with $\widehat{q}_0 = 1$ and:

$$\tau(q) := \sum_{k=0}^d |1 - 1/\widehat{q}_k| = O(1/r^2).$$

- ▶ Best choice: $\tau(q) \approx$ least root of \mathcal{G}_{r+1}^n (the degree $r+1$ Gegenbauer polynomial)
- ▶ Is a special case of Lasserre's **measure-based** hierarchy of **upper bounds** for the minimization of $h(x) := d - \sum_{k=0}^d \mathcal{G}_k^n(x)$ on $[-1, 1]$.

Claim: We may bound:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}} |f_k(\mathbf{x})| \leq \gamma_d \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}} |f(\mathbf{x})| \quad (k \leq d)$$

where, $\gamma_d > 0$ is a constant depending **only on the degree** d of f (but not on n).

- ▶ Proven by Fang and Fawzi for S^{n-1} using an inductive proof.
- ▶ Also true on $\{0, 1\}^n$ (our proof applies to S^{n-1} with a better constant).
- ▶ Weaker statement holds for the unit ball and simplex.



Intermezzo: connection to last week's talk

Proposition (last week's talk by Mauricio Velasco)

Let $\mathcal{W} = \{(\mathbf{y}_j, w_j) : j \in [N]\}$ be a **positive cubature rule** for S^{n-1} of strength $2r + d$. Suppose that q is a **sum of squares** of degree $2r$, and that $\widehat{q}_0 = 1$. Then we have:

$$f_{\text{cub},q} := \min_{j=1,2,\dots,N} \mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{y}_j) \leq f_{\text{sos},r}.$$

Proof.

The kernel $K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = q(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ lies in the quadratic module $\mathcal{Q}_r(S^{n-1})$ for fixed \mathbf{y} . This gives a representation of $f - f_{\text{cub},q}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_r(S^{n-1})$ via:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\text{cub},q} &= \int_{S^{n-1}} K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot (\mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{y}) - f_{\text{cub},q}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \underbrace{K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_j)}_{\in \mathcal{Q}_r(S^{n-1})} \cdot \underbrace{w_j (\mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{y}_j) - f_{\text{cub},q})}_{\geq 0} \end{aligned}$$

So we have:

$$f_{\text{harm},q} = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}} \mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f_{\text{cub},q} \leq f_{\text{sos},r}$$

□

What about the binary cube, the unit ball and the simplex?

- ▶ The binary cube $\{0, 1\}^n$ is very similar to S^{n-1} . The reproducing kernel is given by:

$$\text{CD}_d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{k=0}^d \mathcal{K}_k(d_{\text{ham}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})),$$

where \mathcal{K}_k is the **Krawtchouk polynomial** of degree k .

- ▶ Gives an analysis of $f_{\min} - f_{\text{sos},r}$ in terms of roots of Krawtchouk polynomials.
- ▶ Proof for the unit ball and the simplex follows the same structure, but the expressions of the reproducing kernel are more complicated.
- ▶ Convergence rate in $O(1/r^2)$ for $f_{\min} - f_{\text{sos},r}$ on B^n (**Putinar = Schmüdgen**).
- ▶ Convergence rate in $O(1/r^2)$ for $f_{\min} - f_{\text{sos},r}$ on Δ^n (**Schmüdgen**).

The unit ball

Theorem (Xu, 1999)

The reproducing kernel $\text{CD}_d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ on the **unit ball** w.r.t. the measure $(1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)^{-1/2} d\mathbf{x}$ is given by:

$$\text{CD}_d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^d (\mathcal{G}_k^{n+1}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{y}\|^2}) + \mathcal{G}_k^{n+1}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} - \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{y}\|^2}))$$

- ▶ For a univariate polynomial $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2r} \hat{q}_k \mathcal{G}_k^{n+1}(x)$, we set:

$$\mathbf{K}_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \frac{1}{2} (q(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{y}\|^2}) + q(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} - \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{y}\|^2}))$$

- ▶ Like before, we then have:

$$\mathbf{K}_q f = \sum_{k=0}^d \hat{q}_k f_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f = \sum_{k=0}^d (1/\hat{q}_k) f_k$$

Proposition (S., 2021)

Suppose that q is a *sum of squares* of degree $2r$, and that $\hat{q}_0 = 1$. Then we have:

$$f_{\text{harm},q} := \min_{\mathbf{x} \in B^n} \mathbf{K}_q^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f_{\text{sos},r}.$$

Proof.

We only need to show that $K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{Q}_r(B^n)$ for fixed \mathbf{y} . The terms in Xu's formula cancel out precisely as we need them to. □

- ▶ The rest of the analysis is essentially the same:

$$f_{\min} - f_{\text{harm},q} \leq \sum_{k=0}^d |1 - 1/\hat{q}_k| \cdot \max_{\mathbf{x} \in B^n} |f_k(\mathbf{x})|$$

- ▶ For the first factor we use Fang and Fawzi's result again.
- ▶ For the second factor we need a new argument.
- ▶ Proof for the simplex is analogous, but with a different expression for the reproducing kernel.

Summary

- ▶ For semialgebraic sets \mathbf{X} with nice symmetric structure, we can analyze the behaviour of the sum-of-squares hierarchy using Fourier analysis and reproducing kernels.
- ▶ For S^{n-1} and $\{0, 1\}^n$, one uses the classical Funk-Hecke formula.
- ▶ For the unit ball and simplex, we use expressions derived by Xu.
- ▶ The convergence rate links back to the roots of orthogonal polynomials (or: to the measure-based hierarchy).

Open questions

- ▶ Can we extend to more sets? Perhaps by adding simple (e.g. linear) constraints?
- ▶ Can we improve our choice of univariate polynomial q ? Perhaps by using the function f somehow?
- ▶ Can we apply this technique in the non-commutative setting?