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## Sums of squares: from algebra to analysis One-minute summary

- Minimization of continuous functions on $[0,1]^{d}$
- From polynomials to trigonometric polynomials
- Simpler "more intuitive" sum-of-squares formulations
- From bound on degree to smoothness
- Allows for explicit convergence rates
(up to exponential in the degree of the finite hierarchy)
- Allows for zero-th order oracle with kernel methods
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## Optimization of trigonometric polynomials

- Trigonometric polynomials: $f(x)=\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \hat{f}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega^{\top} x}$
- Fourier series $\hat{f}(\omega)=\int_{[0,1]^{d}} f(x) e^{-2 i \pi \omega^{\top} x} d x \in \mathbb{C}$
- Real values for $f \Leftrightarrow \forall \omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, \hat{f}(-\omega)=\hat{f}(\omega)^{*}$ (polynomial in $\cos 2 \pi x_{j}$ and $\sin 2 \pi x_{j}, j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ )
- Degree $=\max \left\{\|\omega\|_{\infty}, \hat{f}(\omega) \neq 0\right\}$
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- Trigonometric polynomials: $f(x)=\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \hat{f}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega^{\top} x}$
- Representation as quadratic forms
- Feature map $\varphi:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}: \varphi(x)_{\omega}=\hat{q}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega^{\top} x}$, for $\omega \in \Omega$
- If $\Omega=\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{d},\|\omega\|_{\infty} \leqslant r\right\}$, then $m=|\Omega|=(2 r+1)^{d}$
- Normalization: $\|\varphi(x)\|^{2}=\sum_{\omega \in \Omega}|\hat{q}(\omega)|^{2}=1$
- With $F \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ Hermitian

$$
f(x)=\varphi(x)^{*} F \varphi(x)=\sum_{\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega} F_{\omega \omega^{\prime}} \hat{q}(\omega) \hat{q}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)^{*} \cdot e^{2 i \pi\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right)^{\top} x}
$$

- Represents all trigonometric polynomials of degree $2 r$
- $F$ not uniquely defined
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## Optimization of trigonometric polynomials

- Generic problem on $X=[0,1]^{d}: \min _{x \in X} f(x)=\varphi(x)^{*} F \varphi(x)$
- Normalized feature map $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ such that $\|\varphi(x)\|^{2}=1$
- Sum-of-squares relaxations
- Lasserre (2001); Parrilo (2003)
- Books (Lasserre, 2010; Parrilo et al., 2013; Dumitrescu, 2007; Henrion et al., 2020)
- Review paper (Laurent, 2009)
- Simplification
- Assumption: $X$ is a (very) "simple" set
- From polynomials to trigonometric polynomials (will be lifted)
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- Exact reformulation of minimization problem
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- Exact reformulation of minimization problem

$$
\min _{x \in X} f(x)=\max _{c \in \mathbb{R}} c \quad \text { such that } \forall x \in X, f(x)-c \geqslant 0
$$

- SOS relaxation: replace $f(x)-c \geqslant 0$ by $f(x)-c=\varphi(x)^{*} A \varphi(x)$ with $A$ Hermitian positive semi-definite $(A \succcurlyeq 0)$
- If $A=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} u_{i} u_{i}^{*}$, then $\varphi(x)^{*} A \varphi(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|\lambda_{i}^{1 / 2} u_{i}^{*} \varphi(x)\right|^{2}$
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- Optimizing over $c$ and $A$ :

$$
\max _{Y \in \mathcal{V} \perp} \lambda_{\min }(F+Y)
$$

- Link with spectral relaxation $(Y=0)$
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- Dual exact reformulation of minimization problem

$$
\min _{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \int_{X} f(x) d \mu(x)=\operatorname{tr}\left[F\left(\int_{X} \varphi(x) \varphi(x)^{*} d \mu(x)\right)\right]
$$

- with $\mathcal{P}(X)=$ set of probability measures on $X$
- Equivalent reformulation: $\min _{\Sigma \in \mathcal{K}} \operatorname{tr}[F \Sigma]$
- with $\mathcal{K}$ closure of convex hull of $\left\{\varphi(x) \varphi(x)^{*}, x \in \mathcal{X}\right\}$
- Relaxation using outer approximation $\widehat{\mathcal{K}} \supset \mathcal{K}$
- Preserve affine hull and add positivity constraint

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{K}}=\left\{\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \Sigma \in \mathcal{V}, \operatorname{tr}[\Sigma]=1, \Sigma \succcurlyeq 0\right\}
$$

## Tightness of SOS relaxations

- Two equivalent views
(1) Are all non-negative functions sums-of-squares?
(2) Is $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}=\mathcal{K}$ ?
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## Tightness of SOS relaxations

- Two equivalent views
(1) Are all non-negative functions sums-of-squares?
(2) Is $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}=\mathcal{K}$ ?
- Univariate polynomials $(d=1)$
- Tight relaxation (Fejér, 1916; Riesz, 1916; Nesterov, 2000)
- Elementary proof based on polynomial factorization
- NB: spectral relaxation only converges at $O(1 / s)$ with $s=$ degree (Grenander and Szegö, 1958)
- What about multivariate polynomials $(d>1)$ ?
- Bad and good news...


## Tightness of SOS relaxations Multivariate trigonometric polynomials

- Not all non-negative trigonometric polynomials are SOSs
- Generic construction (Naftalovich and Schreiber, 1985)
- Based on Motzkin counter-example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=M\left(1-\cos 2 \pi x_{1}, 1-\cos 2 \pi x_{2}, 1-\cos 2 \pi x_{3}\right) \\
& \quad \text { with } M\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=y_{1}^{2} y_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{3}-3 y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}
\end{aligned}
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## Tightness of SOS relaxations Multivariate trigonometric polynomials

- Not all non-negative trigonometric polynomials are SOSs
- Generic construction (Naftalovich and Schreiber, 1985)
- Based on Motzkin counter-example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=M\left(1-\cos 2 \pi x_{1}, 1-\cos 2 \pi x_{2}, 1-\cos 2 \pi x_{3}\right) \\
& \quad \text { with } M\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=y_{1}^{2} y_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{3}-3 y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

- All strictly positive polynomials are sums-of-squares
- See Putinar (1992); Megretski (2003)
- Degrees not known a priori
- Allows for hierarchies
- NB: always finite convergence for $d=2$ (Scheiderer, 2006)


## Trigonometric polynomial hierarchies

- Goal: minimize degree $2 r$ trigonometric polynomial $f$
- Define $\varphi^{(s)}:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(2 s+1)^{d}}$ with all Fourier exponentials of degree less than $s \geqslant r$
- Represent $f$ as quadratic form $f(x)=\varphi^{(s)}(x)^{*}\left(F^{(s)}\right) \varphi^{(s)}(x)$
- Solve the primal/dual pair of SOS relaxations, with values $c_{*}^{(s)}$

$$
c_{*}^{(s)} \rightarrow \min _{x \in[0,1]^{d}} f(x) \quad \text { when } s \rightarrow+\infty
$$
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- Goal: minimize degree $2 r$ trigonometric polynomial $f$
- Define $\varphi^{(s)}:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(2 s+1)^{d}}$ with all Fourier exponentials of degree less than $s \geqslant r$
- Represent $f$ as quadratic form $f(x)=\varphi^{(s)}(x)^{*}\left(F^{(s)}\right) \varphi^{(s)}(x)$
- Solve the primal/dual pair of SOS relaxations, with values $c_{*}^{(s)}$

$$
c_{*}^{(s)} \rightarrow \min _{x \in[0,1]^{d}} f(x) \text { when } s \rightarrow+\infty
$$

- How fast?
- Finite convergence often observed, and provable for locally wellbehaved problems (Nie, 2014), with no rate
- Existing bounds in $O\left(1 / s^{2}\right)$ for other special cases (Fang and Fawzi, 2021; Laurent and Slot, 2022; Slot, 2022)
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- $f$ is non-negative if and only if $f(y)=\left|\sum_{|\omega| \leqslant r} \hat{g}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega y}\right|^{2}$
- Chebyshev polynomials for $\omega>0$
$-\cos 2 \pi \omega y=T_{\omega}(\cos 2 \pi y)$ and $\sin 2 \pi \omega y=U_{\omega-1}(\cos 2 \pi y) \cdot \sin 2 \pi y$
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- Representation of non-negative polynomials on $[-1,1]$
- Given a polynomial $P$ on $[-1,1]$ of degree $2 r$
- Define $f(y)=P(\cos 2 \pi y)$ a trigonometric polynomial on $[0,1]$
- $f$ is non-negative if and only if $f(y)=\left|\sum_{|\omega| \leqslant r} \hat{g}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega y}\right|^{2}$
- Chebyshev polynomials for $\omega>0$
$-\cos 2 \pi \omega y=T_{\omega}(\cos 2 \pi y)$ and $\sin 2 \pi \omega y=U_{\omega-1}(\cos 2 \pi y) \cdot \sin 2 \pi y$
- Can expand $f(y)=Q(\cos 2 \pi y)^{2}+R(\cos 2 \pi y)^{2} \cdot \sin ^{2} 2 \pi y$
- With $\sin ^{2} 2 \pi y=1-\cos ^{2} 2 \pi y$, we have:

$$
\forall x \in[-1,1], \quad f(x)=Q(x)^{2}+R(x)^{2} \cdot\left(1-x^{2}\right)
$$

- Classical "Putinar" representation
- Extension to $[-1,1]^{d}$ : Schmüdgen (2017) representation


## Convergence bounds with no assumptions

- Theorem (Bach and Rudi, 2022)
- Assume $s \geqslant 3 r$, and define $\|f\|_{\mathrm{F}}=\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}|\hat{f}(\omega)|$
$0 \leqslant \min _{x \in[0,1]^{d}} f(x)-c_{*}^{(s)} \leqslant\left\|f-f_{*}\right\|_{\mathrm{F}} \cdot\left[\left(1-\frac{6 r^{2}}{s^{2}}\right)^{-d}-1\right] \sim 6\left\|f-f_{*}\right\|_{\mathrm{F}} \cdot \frac{r^{2} d}{s^{2}}$
- Proof based on Fang and Fawzi (2021)
- Essentially the same result as Laurent and Slot (2022) with different notations and better constants
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- Theorem (Bach and Rudi, 2022)
- Assume $s \geqslant 3 r$, and define $\|f\|_{\mathrm{F}}=\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}|\hat{f}(\omega)|$
$0 \leqslant \min _{x \in[0,1]^{d}} f(x)-c_{*}^{(s)} \leqslant\left\|f-f_{*}\right\|_{\mathrm{F}} \cdot\left[\left(1-\frac{6 r^{2}}{s^{2}}\right)^{-d}-1\right] \sim 6\left\|f-f_{*}\right\|_{\mathrm{F}} \cdot \frac{r^{2} d}{s^{2}}$
- Proof based on Fang and Fawzi (2021)
- Essentially the same result as Laurent and Slot (2022) with different notations and better constants
- Discussion
- Spectral relaxation only achieves $O(1 / s)$
- Is it optimal without further assumptions?
- Can it be improved with further assumptions?
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- From algebra to analysis
- Trigonometric polynomials are $C^{\infty}$ functions
- Smoothness of $f$ typically characterized by decay of $\hat{f}(\omega)$ for $\|\omega\| \rightarrow+\infty$
- Support of Fourier series not precise enough


## From bound on degree to smoothness

- From algebra to analysis
- Trigonometric polynomials are $C^{\infty}$ functions
- Smoothness of $f$ typically characterized by decay of $\hat{f}(\omega)$ for $\|\omega\| \rightarrow+\infty$
- Support of Fourier series not precise enough
- Using local optimality conditions
- Assumptions: ( ) $f$ attains its minimum at a single point
( ) $f$ is twice differentiable and $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{*}\right)$ invertible
- Can be relaxed (Marteau-Ferey, Bach, and Rudi, 2022)
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- Assumptions: $f:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{p}$ ( $p$-th continuous derivatives) $f$ has a unique minimum $x_{*}$ located in $(0,1)^{d}$ $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{*}\right)$ invertible
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## Decomposing non-negative $C^{p}$ functions as sums-of-squares

- Theorem (Rudi, Marteau-Ferey, and Bach, 2020):
- Assumptions: $f:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{p}$ ( $p$-th continuous derivatives) $f$ has a unique minimum $x_{*}$ located in $(0,1)^{d}$ $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{*}\right)$ invertible
- There exist $d+1$ functions $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{d+1}$ in $C^{p-2}$ such that

$$
\forall x \in[0,1]^{d}, f(x)-f\left(x_{*}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} g_{i}(x)^{2}
$$

- Proof technique
- Around $x_{*}$, Taylor formula with integral remainder $\Rightarrow d$ functions
- Away from $x_{*}$, use the square root
- Use partitions of unity to glue them


## Consequence on convergence rate of hierarchies (Woodworth, Bach, and Rudi, 2022)

- A trigonometric polynomial is a $C^{\infty}$ function!

$$
f(x)-f\left(x_{*}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} g_{i}(x)^{2}
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- With $g_{i}$ 's all $C^{\infty}$
- Let $\bar{g}_{i}(x)=\sum_{\|\omega\|_{\infty} \leqslant s} \hat{g}_{i}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega^{\top} x}$ (truncated version)
- Property: for any order $p,\left\|g_{i}-\bar{g}_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{F}} \leqslant \frac{c_{p}\left(g_{i}\right)}{s^{p}}$
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## Consequence on convergence rate of hierarchies (Woodworth, Bach, and Rudi, 2022)

- A trigonometric polynomial is a $C^{\infty}$ function!

$$
f(x)-f\left(x_{*}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} g_{i}(x)^{2}
$$

- With $g_{i}$ 's all $C^{\infty}$
- Let $\bar{g}_{i}(x)=\sum_{\|\omega\|_{\infty} \leqslant s} \hat{g}_{i}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega^{\top} x}$ (truncated version)
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- Consequence: For any $p$, up to a uniform error less than $\frac{c_{p}^{\prime}(f)}{s^{p}}$, $f-f\left(x_{*}\right)$ is a sum of squares of polynomials of degree $s$


## Exponential convergence rates

- Theorem (Bach and Rudi, 2022)
- Assume unique minimizer with positive definite Hessian
- For any $\xi \in(0,1 / 2]$ :
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## Exponential convergence rates

- Theorem (Bach and Rudi, 2022)
- Assume unique minimizer with positive definite Hessian
- For any $\xi \in(0,1 / 2]$ :

$$
0 \leqslant \min _{x \in[0,1]^{d}} f(x)-c_{*}^{(s)} \leqslant \triangle_{1} \exp \left(-\left(\frac{s}{\triangle_{2}}\right)^{1+\xi}\right)
$$

- Explicit dependence of $\triangle_{1}$ and $\triangle_{2}$ on all problem constants
- Proof technique
- Explicit control of the constants $c_{p}\left(g_{i}\right)$ and $c_{p}^{\prime}(f)$
- Bounding all derivatives of (matrix) square roots (Del Moral and Niclas, 2018) and partitions of unity (Israel, 2015)
- Extensive use of Faà di Bruno's formula
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- (trigonometric) polynomial $f$ given by its coefficients


## Towards zero-th order oracles

- Traditional SOS relaxations
- (trigonometric) polynomial $f$ given by its coefficients
- Using zero-th order oracle for $f$ or $\hat{f}$ for smooth functions


## Towards zero-th order oracles

- Traditional SOS relaxations
- (trigonometric) polynomial $f$ given by its coefficients
- Using zero-th order oracle for $f$ or $\hat{f}$ for smooth functions
- Option 1: Compute approximation by (trigonometric) polynomial and optimize using SOS (see Novak, 2006)
- Optimal in terms of number of calls to zero-th order oracle


## Towards zero-th order oracles

- Traditional SOS relaxations
- (trigonometric) polynomial $f$ given by its coefficients
- Using zero-th order oracle for $f$ or $\hat{f}$ for smooth functions
- Option 1: Compute approximation by (trigonometric) polynomial and optimize using SOS (see Novak, 2006)
- Optimal in terms of number of calls to zero-th order oracle
- Option 2: Approximate and optimize simultaneously
- Efficient algorithms (Rudi, Marteau-Ferey, and Bach, 2020)
- Certificates of optimality (Woodworth, Bach, and Rudi, 2022)
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- SOS relaxation:
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- $\mathcal{V}$ may be cumbersome to characterize computationally


## Using function values with trigonometric polynomials

- SOS relaxation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{d} \times d} \operatorname{tr}[F \Sigma] \text { such that } \Sigma \in \mathcal{V}, \operatorname{tr}[\Sigma]=1, \Sigma \succcurlyeq 0 \\
= & \max _{Y \in \mathcal{V}^{\perp}} \lambda_{\min }(F+Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

- where $\mathcal{V}=\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{\varphi(x) \varphi(x)^{*}, x \in X\right\}\right)$
- $\mathcal{V}$ may be cumbersome to characterize computationally
- Replace $\mathcal{V}$ by $\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{\varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)^{*}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\}\right)$
- Generating family obtained by random samples $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $X$ (Cifuentes and Parrilo, 2017)
$\max _{c \in \mathbb{R}, A \succcurlyeq 0} c$ such that $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, f\left(x_{i}\right)-c=\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)^{*} A \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)$


# Infinite expansions <br> (Rudi, Marteau-Ferey, and Bach, 2020) 

- Feature map $\varphi:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{|\Omega|}: \varphi(x)_{\omega}=\hat{q}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega^{\top} x}$, for $\omega \in \Omega$
- Contraint $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega}|\hat{q}(\omega)|^{2}=1$
- What if $\Omega=\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ ?
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## (Rudi, Marteau-Ferey, and Bach, 2020)

- Feature map $\varphi:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{|\Omega|}: \varphi(x)_{\omega}=\hat{q}(\omega) e^{2 i \pi \omega^{\top} x}$, for $\omega \in \Omega$
- Contraint $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega}|\hat{q}(\omega)|^{2}=1$
- What if $\Omega=\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ ?
- "Tightness" of relaxation if $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, \hat{q}(\omega)>0$

$$
\sup _{c \in \mathbb{R},},{ }_{A \succcurlyeq 0} c \text { such that } \forall x \in X, f(x)-c=\varphi(x)^{*} A \varphi(x)
$$

- Attained with a finite rank operator $A$ under local optimality conditions (isolated minimizers with invertible Hessians)
- Still hard to solve ( $X$ dense and $A$ infinite-dimensional)


## Efficient sampling algorithms

- Sampling and regularization:

$$
\max _{c \in \mathbb{R}, A \succcurlyeq 0} c-\lambda \operatorname{tr}(A) \text { such that } \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, f\left(x_{i}\right)-c=\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)^{*} A \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)
$$
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- Sampling and regularization:
$\max _{c \in \mathbb{R}, A \succcurlyeq 0} c-\lambda \operatorname{tr}(A)$ such that $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, f\left(x_{i}\right)-c=\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)^{*} A \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)$
- Leads to provable a priori performance guarantees (with correct $\lambda$ )
- Up to logarithms and a few constants $\varepsilon \propto n^{-p / d}$ for $C^{p}$ functions
- See Rudi, Marteau-Ferey, and Bach (2020) for details


## Efficient sampling algorithms

- Sampling and regularization:
$\max _{c \in \mathbb{R}, A \succcurlyeq 0} c-\lambda \operatorname{tr}(A)$ such that $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, f\left(x_{i}\right)-c=\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)^{*} A \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)$
- Leads to provable a priori performance guarantees (with correct $\lambda$ )
- Up to logarithms and a few constants $\varepsilon \propto n^{-p / d}$ for $C^{p}$ functions
- See Rudi, Marteau-Ferey, and Bach (2020) for details
- Finite-dimensional algorithm through representer theorem
- Can restrict search to $A=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} B_{i k} \varphi\left(x_{j}\right) \varphi\left(x_{k}\right)^{*}$ with $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \succcurlyeq 0$
- Only need access to $\varphi\left(x_{j}\right)^{*} \varphi\left(x_{k}\right)=\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}|\hat{q}(\omega)|^{2} e^{2 i \pi \omega^{\top}\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)}$
- See Marteau-Ferey, Bach, and Rudi (2020) for details
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## Conclusion

- Sum-of-squares relaxations in the Fourier domain
- From trigonometric polynomials to $C^{\infty}$ functions
- Exponential convergence rates for polynomial hierarchies
- Extension to zero-th order oracles and infinite expansions
- Improvements
- Certificates of optimality (Woodworth, Bach, and Rudi, 2022)
- Constrained problems (going beyond simple sets $X$ )
- SOS relaxations beyond optimization
- Optimal control (Berthier, Carpentier, Rudi, and Bach, 2021)
- Optimal transport (Vacher, Muzellec, Rudi, Bach, and Vialard, 2021)
- Log-partition functions and variational inference (Bach, 2022a,b)


## Log-partition functions and variational inference

- Log-partition function: given $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a distribution $q$ on $X$

$$
-\varepsilon \log \int_{x} e^{-f(x) / \varepsilon} d q(x)=\inf _{p \text { probability }} \int_{x} f(x) d p(x)+\varepsilon D(p \| q)
$$

with $D(p \| q)=\int_{x} \log \left(\frac{d p}{d q}(x)\right) d p(x)$ Kullback-Leibler divergence

- Used within variational inference (Wainwright and Jordan, 2008)
- Duality between maximum entropy and maximum likelihood


## Log-partition functions and variational inference

- Log-partition function: given $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a distribution $q$ on $X$

$$
-\varepsilon \log \int_{X} e^{-f(x) / \varepsilon} d q(x)=\inf _{p \text { probability }} \int_{X} f(x) d p(x)+\varepsilon D(p \| q)
$$

with $D(p \| q)=\int_{x} \log \left(\frac{d p}{d q}(x)\right) d p(x)$ Kullback-Leibler divergence

- Used within variational inference (Wainwright and Jordan, 2008)
- Duality between maximum entropy and maximum likelihood
- Von Neumann relative entropy

$$
\begin{gathered}
D\left(\Sigma_{p} \| \Sigma_{q}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\Sigma_{p}\left(\log \Sigma_{p}-\log \Sigma_{q}\right)\right] \\
- \text { With } \Sigma_{p}=\int_{X} \varphi(x) \varphi(x)^{*} d p(x) \text { and } \Sigma_{q}=\int_{X} \varphi(x) \varphi(x)^{*} d q(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Always a lower bound on $D(p \| q)$ (Bach, 2022a)
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## Smooth optimal transport

- Primal formulation: $\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)} \int_{x \times y} c(x, y) d \gamma(x, y)$
- $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ set of probability distributions with marginals $\mu$ and $\nu$
- Dual formulation: $\sup _{u, v \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \int_{x} u(x) d \mu(x)+\int_{y} v(y) d \mu(y)$
such that

$$
\forall(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, c(x, y)-u(x)+v(y) \geqslant 0
$$
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such that
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\forall(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, c(x, y)-u(x)+v(y) \geqslant 0
$$

- Estimation from i.i.d. samples from smooth densities for $\mu$ and $\nu$
- Rate: from $O\left(n^{-1 / d}\right)$ to $O\left(n^{-p / d}\right)$ (Weed and Berthet, 2019)
- No polynomial-time algorithm


## Smooth optimal transport

- Primal formulation: $\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)} \int_{x \times y} c(x, y) d \gamma(x, y)$
- $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ set of probability distributions with marginals $\mu$ and $\nu$
- Dual formulation: $\sup _{u, v \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \int_{x} u(x) d \mu(x)+\int_{y} v(y) d \mu(y)$
such that

$$
\forall(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{y}, c(x, y)-u(x)+v(y) \geqslant 0
$$

- Estimation from i.i.d. samples from smooth densities for $\mu$ and $\nu$
- Rate: from $O\left(n^{-1 / d}\right)$ to $O\left(n^{-p / d}\right)$ (Weed and Berthet, 2019)
- No polynomial-time algorithm
- Kernel sums of squares: "polynomial"-time algorithm
- Vacher, Muzellec, Rudi, Bach, and Vialard (2021)


## Optimal control / reinforcement learning

- Optimal control (Liberzon, 2011)

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{*}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\inf _{u:\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow u} \int_{t_{0}}^{T} L(t, x(t), u(t)) \mathrm{d} t+M(x(T)) \\
\forall t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right], \dot{x}(t)=f(t, x(t), u(t)), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Optimal control / reinforcement learning

- Optimal control (Liberzon, 2011)

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{*}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\inf _{u:\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow u} \int_{t_{0}}^{T} L(t, x(t), u(t)) \mathrm{d} t+M(x(T)) \\
\forall t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right], \dot{x}(t)=f(t, x(t), u(t)), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Subsolution of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (Vinter, 1993)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sup _{V:[0, T] \times x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \int V\left(0, x_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\forall(t, x, u), & \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x)+L(t, x, u)+\nabla V(t, x)^{\top} f(t, x, u) \geqslant 0 \\
\forall x, & V(T, x)=M(x) .
\end{array}
$$

## Optimal control / reinforcement learning

- Subsolution of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (Vinter, 1993)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{V:[0, T] \times x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \int V\left(0, x_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\forall(t, x, u), \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x)+L(t, x, u)+\nabla V(t, x)^{\top} f(t, x, u) \geqslant 0 \\
\forall x,
\end{gathered}
$$

## Optimal control / reinforcement learning

- Subsolution of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (Vinter, 1993)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sup _{V:[0, T] \times x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \int V\left(0, x_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\forall(t, x, u), & \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x)+L(t, x, u)+\nabla V(t, x)^{\top} f(t, x, u) \geqslant 0 \\
\forall x, & V(T, x)=M(x) .
\end{array}
$$

- Polynomial sums-of-squares
- Lasserre, Henrion, Prieur, and Trélat (2008)


## Optimal control / reinforcement learning

- Subsolution of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (Vinter, 1993)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{V:[0, T] \times x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \int V\left(0, x_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\forall(t, x, u), \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x)+L(t, x, u)+\nabla V(t, x)^{\top} f(t, x, u) \geqslant 0 \\
\forall x, \quad V(T, x)=M(x) .
\end{gathered}
$$

- Polynomial sums-of-squares
- Lasserre, Henrion, Prieur, and Trélat (2008)
- Extension to kernel sums-of-squares
- Berthier, Carpentier, Rudi, and Bach (2021)
- Allows some form of modelling

