

Structured adaptive control for solving LMIs

Alexandru-Razvan Luzi,
Alexander L. Fradkov, Jean-Marc Biannic,
Dimitri Peaucelle

CNES, CCT SCA, 12 february 2014



Published at IFAC-ALCOSP 2013

By-product of research work on adaptive satellite attitude control:

"Structured adaptive attitude control of a satellite",

A.R. Luzi, D. Peaucelle, J.-M. Biannic, Ch. Pittet, J. Mignot,

International Journal of Adaptive Control and Signal Processing 2013

What are LMIs ?

- LMIs: Linear Matrix Inequalities

$$\max \sum b_i y_i \quad : \quad F_0 + \sum y_i F_i \prec 0$$

- LMIs are SDP: Semi-Definite Programming

$$\min c^T x \quad : \quad Ax = b \quad , \quad \text{mat}(x) \succ 0$$

- Primal-dual, convex, solvers in polynomial-time [Nesterov, ...]
- Nice parser: YALMIP
- Many control problems have LMI formulations, mainly in robust control

$$P \succ 0 \quad , \quad A^T P + P A \prec 0$$

- New results for: combinatorial optimization, robust optimization, algebraic geometry, cryptography, optimal control...

Introduction

- Direct adaptive control:

Adaptation of control gains done directly based on measurements.

- ▲ \neq Indirect adaptive control:

Estimator of model parameters + scheduled control gain

- Feedback-loop stabilizing gains, MRAC not considered

- Lyapunov based stability proofs

- Framework initiated by V.A. Yakubovich in the late 1960's

- Contributions: new adaptive control law with asymptotic structure
+ may solve LMIs

Outline

- 1 Passivity-based adaptive control
- 2 LMIs are strict-passifiable systems
- 3 Structured adaptive control
- 4 Numerical Example

Passivity-based adaptive control of LTI systems

Theorem

The following two conditions are equivalent:

- ① There exists a static control $u(t) = Fy(t) + w(t)$ for the system

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mathbb{A}x(t) + \mathbb{B}u(t) \quad , \quad y(t) = \mathbb{C}x(t) \quad , \quad z(t) = y(t)$$

that makes the closed-loop strictly passive (with respect to w/z).

- ② For all $\Gamma \succ \mathbf{0}$ the following adaptive control

$$u(t) = K(t)y(t) + w(t) \quad , \quad \dot{K}(t) = -y(t)y^T(t)\Gamma$$

makes the closed-loop globally strictly-passive.

- Strict-passivity includes asymptotic stability of $x = 0$
- Adaptive control converges to $K(\infty)$: strictly-passifying static gain
- ▲ Theorem for square systems - extensions exist for non-square systems
- ▲ Not all stabilizable systems are strictly-passifiable
 - modified adaptive laws exist for stabilizable systems
- Condition 1 also reads in terms of matrix inequalities as

$$\exists Q \succ \mathbf{0} : (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}F\mathbf{C})^T Q + Q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}F\mathbf{C}) \prec \mathbf{0} , \quad Q\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}^T$$

It happens to be an LMI constraint!

$$\exists Q \succ \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{A}^T Q + Q\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{C}^T(F^T + F)\mathbf{C} \prec \mathbf{0} , \quad Q\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}^T$$

- Finding F solution to the LMI is equivalent to simulating the system with the adaptive control law and taking $F = K(\infty)$.

All LMIs define strict-passifiable systems

■ Let us consider an example:

● LMIs for an upper bound on the H_∞ norm of $G(s) \sim (A, B, C, D)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T P + P A + C^T C & P B + C^T D \\ B^T P + D^T C & -\gamma^2 \mathbf{1} + D^T D \end{bmatrix} \prec \mathbf{0} \quad , \quad P = P^T \succ \mathbf{0}.$$

All LMIs define strict-passifiable systems

■ Let us consider an example:

● LMIs for an upper bound on the H_∞ norm of $G(s) \sim (A, B, C, D)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T P + P A + C^T C & P B + C^T D \\ B^T P + D^T C & -\gamma^2 \mathbf{1} + D^T D \end{bmatrix} \prec \mathbf{0}, \quad P = P^T \succ \mathbf{0}.$$

● Converted with simple manipulations into one simple LMI

$$\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}^T F \mathbb{B} \prec \mathbf{0}$$

▲ with structural equality constraints on F

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_P & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & F_\gamma \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_P = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & P & \mathbf{0} \\ P & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -P \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = P^T, \quad F_\gamma = -\gamma^2 \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbb{A} = \begin{bmatrix} C^T C & C^T D & \mathbf{0} \\ D^T C & D^T D & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{B} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

■ Let us consider an example:

● LMIs for an upper bound on the H_∞ norm of $G(s) \sim (A, B, C, D)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T P + P A + C^T C & P B + C^T D \\ B^T P + D^T C & -\gamma^2 \mathbf{1} + D^T D \end{bmatrix} \prec \mathbf{0}, \quad P = P^T \succ \mathbf{0}.$$

● Converted with simple manipulations into one simple LMI

$$\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}^T F \mathbb{B} \prec \mathbf{0}$$

▲ with structural equality constraints on F

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_P & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & F_\gamma \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_P = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & P & \mathbf{0} \\ P & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -P \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = P^T, \quad F_\gamma = -\gamma^2 \mathbf{1}$$

■ The constraint $\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}^T F \mathbb{B} \prec \mathbf{0}$ holds iff

$(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{B}^T)$ is strictly-passifiable by F (condition ①).

▲ LMI converted to strict-passification problem, with equality constraints.

■ Procedure applies to any LMI:

- Concludes with search of passifying gain $F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & F_N \end{bmatrix}$
- for a (symmetric) system $(A, B, C = B^T)$
- with additional structural equality constraints that can be compacted in

$$U_i \text{vec}(F_i) = 0$$

(Where $\text{vec}(F_i)$ is the vector composed of stacked columns of F_i .)

▲ All constraints $U_i \text{vec}(F_i) = 0$ include the constraint $F_i = F_i^T$.

Block-diagonal adaptive control with asymptotic structure

Theorem

Assume $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^T$ and $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{B}^T$, then the following two are equivalent:

① There exists a symmetric decentralized static control $u_i(t) = F_i y_i(t)$ satisfying structural constraints $U_i \text{vec}(F_i) = 0$ that stabilizes asymptotically

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mathbb{A}x(t) + \sum \mathbb{B}_i u_i(t) \quad , \quad y_i(t) = \mathbb{C}_i x(t).$$

② For all $\Gamma_i \succ \mathbf{0}$, $\alpha_i > 0$ the following adaptive control

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(t) &= K_i(t) y_i(t) + w_i(t) \quad , \\ \dot{K}_i(t) &= -y_i(t) y_i^T(t) \Gamma_i - \alpha_i \cdot \text{mat}(U_i^T U_i \cdot \text{vec}(K_i(t))) \Gamma_i \end{aligned}$$

makes the closed-loop globally asymptotically stable and the adaptive gains converge to constant values $F_i = K_i(\infty)$ solution to condition ①.

(‘mat’ is the function such that $\text{mat}(\text{vec}(F)) = F$)

Proof of ① \Rightarrow ②

- Stability of a symmetric matrix $\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}FC$ proved by $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T x$, i.e. ① implies

$$\exists F : \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}FC)^T + (\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}FC) < \mathbf{0}, \\ & F = \text{diag} \left[\cdots \quad F_i \quad \cdots \right], \quad U_i \cdot \text{vec}(F_i) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

- Let the Lyapunov function for the non-linear system (with adaptive law)

$$V(x, K) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x^T x + \sum_i \text{Tr} \left((K_i - F_i) \Gamma^{-1} (K_i - F_i)^T \right) \right)$$

- After manipulations, using $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{C}^T$, $U_i \cdot \text{vec}(F_i) = 0$, we get:

$$\dot{V}(x, K) = x^T (\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}FC)^T x - \sum_i \alpha_i (U_i \cdot \text{vec}(K_i))^T (U_i \cdot \text{vec}(K_i)).$$

Proof of ① \Rightarrow ② (continued)

$$\dot{V}(x, K) = x^T (\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}FC)^T x - \sum_i \alpha_i (U_i \cdot \text{vec}(K_i))^T (U_i \cdot \text{vec}(K_i)).$$

- ▲ First term is strictly negative due to (1), until $x = 0$,
- ▲ Last term is strictly negative, until $U_i \cdot \text{vec}(K_i) = 0$.

■ The system converges to the attractor

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(x, K) : x = 0, U_i \cdot \text{vec}(K_i) = 0\}$$

■ Reasoning in [Ioannou&Sun 96] allows to conclude that $K_i(t)$ converges to a constant gain $K_i(\infty)$.

Proof of ② \Rightarrow ①

- The system with adaptive control is globally asymptotically stable, it converges to an asymptotically stable equilibrium:

$$F_i = K_i(\infty) \text{ are stabilizing gains}$$

Summary

- All LMI problems are equivalent to static output-feedback strict-passification problems with structure constraints:
 - $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^T$
 - gain F is block-diagonal
 - sub-blocks should satisfy $U_i \text{vec}(F_i) = 0$.

- If a structured strict-passification problem admits solutions, the block-diagonal adaptive law with asymptotic structure will converge to one of these.

- The LMIs can be solved by simulating the adaptive controlled systems.

- ▲ If the system converges $K_i(\infty) = F_i$ are solutions of the LMIs.

- ▲ If does not converges the LMIs are infeasible.

Numerical example

- Consider the transfer function:

$$G(s) = \frac{s^2 + s + 1}{s^2 + s + 2}$$

- Problem: compute the H_∞ norm (or at least an upper bound).

▲ In Matlab: `norm(G, Inf, 1e-4) = 1.3251`

Numerical example

- Consider the transfer function:

$$G(s) = \frac{s^2 + s + 1}{s^2 + s + 2}$$

- Problem: compute the H_∞ norm (or at least an upper bound).

▲ In Matlab: `norm(G, Inf, 1e-4) = 1.3251`



▲ LMI problem converted to adaptive passification

$$\dot{K}_i = -y_i y_i^T \Gamma_i - \alpha_i \cdot \text{mat} \left(U_i^T U_i \cdot \text{vec}(K_i) \right) \Gamma_i, \quad y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^6, \quad y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$



with structural asymptotic constraints :

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & P & \mathbf{0} \\ P^T & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -P \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = P^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}, \quad F_2 = -\gamma^2 \mathbf{1} = -\gamma^2.$$

- Parameters for simulating the adaptive law (simulation in Simulink)
- ▲ Initial conditions $x = (1 \dots 1)^T$ and $K_i = \mathbf{0}$
- ▲ $\Gamma_1 = 1000 \cdot \mathbf{1}$, $\Gamma_2 = 10$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$
- Convergence to zero of the 'outputs' y_i




▲ Convergence to structured values of the adapted gains K_i



$$\mathbf{K}_1(\infty) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4.6330 & 1.0671 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.0671 & 10.7960 & 0 & 0 \\ 4.6330 & 1.0671 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1.0671 & 10.7960 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4.6330 & -1.0671 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1.0671 & -10.7960 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_2(\infty) = -7.1307$$


- ▲ Evolution of the (1 : 2, 3 : 4) elements of K_1 that converge to P



- ▲ Solution of the LMIs

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 4.6330 & 1.0671 \\ 1.0671 & 10.7960 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma = 2.6703 \geq 1.3251 = \gamma_{opt}$$

- Test for feasible / unfeasible cases
- ▲ Only K_1 is adapted, γ is slowly linearly modified



- ▲ Unstable behavior when $\gamma < 1.3251 = \gamma_{opt}$.

Conclusions et perspectives

- LMI feasibility problems can be solved by simulating systems
 - ▲ Need for a parser to convert LMIs to adaptive control problem
 - ▲ Simulation time is large - what is the best implementation ?
 - ▲ Is simulation time polynomial w.r.t. size of problem ?

- What about LMI optimization problems ?
 - ▲ Decreasing parameters until system becomes unstable ?
 - ▲ Minimizing gap with dual LMI problem (it works).
 - ▲ Other ?

- Solving time-varying LMI problems ?