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## Our objective

Understanding the impact of complex server interactions in large-scale resource pools with parallel processing

www.rambus.com/data-center/
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- "Service policy where the customers, clients or jobs are all served simultaneously, each receiving an equal fraction of the service capacity available" (Wikipedia)

- "Emerged as an idealisation of round-robin scheduling algorithms" (Aalto et al., 2007)
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## Insensitivity

- Performance only depends on the load $\rho=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}$

Poisson process<br>with rate $\lambda$<br>with rate $\lambda$

- Probability that the system is empty
i.i.d. job sizes

$$
\psi=1-\rho \quad L=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}
$$

- Mean number of jobs in the system
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- Parallel processing

State $x=\left(x_{i}: i \in \mathscr{I}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathscr{I}}$
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Arrivals

- Class i has traffic intensity $\lambda_{i}$
- Poisson arrival process
- i.i.d. job sizes


## Service

- Server k has capacity $\mu_{\mathrm{k}}$
- Parallel processing

State $x=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathscr{G}}$
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## Balanced fairness

The most efficient insensitive resource allocation


- Introduced for dimensioning data networks (Bonald and Proutière, 2003)
- Good approximation of proportional fairness
- Recently applied to server pools
- Content-delivery networks (Shah and de Veciana, 2015)
- Computer clusters (Bonald and Comte, 2017)
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## Balanced fairness

- Stabilizes the maximum set of admissible traffic intensities



## Balanced fairness

- Explicit stationary distribution of the system state x
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## In resource pools

- Pareto-efficiency
(Shah and de Veciana, 2015)




## Scheduling

- Idealisation of an extension of roundrobin scheduling algorithm (Bonald and Comte, 2017)



## Related work

- Recursion on the set of active classes
- Proposed in (Bonald and Virtamo, 2004) and (Shah and de Veciana, 2015)

- Exponential complexity in general
- Polynomial complexity under "poly-symmetry" (Bonald et al., 2017)
- Explicit formulas in specific configurations (Gardner et al., 2017)
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## Applications <br> Gain of differentiation Impact of locality
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Arrivals

- Class i has traffic intensity $\lambda_{i}$
- Poisson arrival process
- i.i.d. job sizes

Service

- Server k has capacity $\mu_{\mathrm{k}}$
- Parallel processing

Resources are allocated according to balanced fairness
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## Resource pool with parallel processing

Additional notations

- $\mathscr{I}$ set of classes
- $\mathbb{K}$ set of servers
- $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}}$ set of classes that can be processed by server k
- $\rho=\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathscr{G}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{k} \in \mathscr{K}} \mu_{\mathrm{k}}}$ load of the system

Stability: for all $\mathscr{L} \subseteq \mathscr{K}$ with $\mathscr{L} \neq \varnothing$,

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathscr{I} \backslash \cup_{\mathrm{k} \in \mathcal{H} \backslash \mathscr{L}} \mathscr{\mathscr { G }}_{\mathrm{k}}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}<\sum_{\mathrm{k} \in \mathscr{L}} \mu_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

## Server idling



## Server idling



Conditionally on server k being idle, the stationary system behaves like the same system without traffic generated by the classes in $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}}$

## Server idling



Conditionally on server k being idle, the stationary system behaves like the same system without traffic generated by the classes in $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}}$

## Server idling



Conditionally on server k being idle, the stationary system behaves like the same system without traffic generated by the classes in $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}}$

## Server idling



Conditionally on server k being idle, the stationary system behaves like the same system without traffic generated by the classes in $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}}$

## Server idling



Conditionally on server k being idle, the stationary system behaves like the same system without traffic generated by the classes in $\mathscr{I}_{k}$

## Server idling



Conditionally on server k being idle, the stationary system behaves like the same system without traffic generated by the classes in $\mathscr{I}_{k}$

## Server idling



Conditionally on server k being idle, the stationary system behaves like the same system without traffic generated by the classes in $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}}$

## Server idling



Conditionally on server k being idle, the stationary system behaves like the same system without traffic generated by the classes in $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}}$

Special case where $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathscr{I}$
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Conditional probabilities

Probability Probability Conditional of an empty that server probability system $k$ is idle

$$
\psi_{\mid-\mathrm{k}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { the system is empty, } \\
\text { given that server } \\
k \text { is idle }
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Server idling



Conditional probabilities

Probability Probability Conditional
of an empty that server probability system
$k$ is idle

$$
\psi_{I-\mathrm{k}}=\mathbb{P}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { the subsystem without } \\
\text { traffic generated by the } \\
\text { classes in } \mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { is idle }
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Probability of an empty system
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## Mean number of jobs



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}+\underbrace{\frac{1}{1-\rho} \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{k} \in \mathscr{K}} \mu_{\mathrm{k}} \psi_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{k}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{k} \in \mathcal{K}} \mu_{\mathrm{k}}}}_{\text {Overhead due to }} \\
& \text { Complete } \\
& \text { resource } \\
& \text { incomplete pooling }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Exponential complexity in the number of servers in general
- Polynomial in "nice" systems
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- All servers are exchangeable The subsystem is again a homogeneous pool
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## Homogeneous pool

- All servers are exchangeable The subsystem is again a homogeneous pool
- $\psi=(1-\rho) \times \psi_{I-K}$
- $L=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}+L_{l-K}$
- New proof for the result of (Gardner et al., 2017a)
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## Randomized assignment



Time complexity $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{NSK}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$
$N=$ number of job types $S=$ number of server pools
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# Study the impact of the job distribution on performance 

(1) Premium only
(2) Regular only
(3) Mixed
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Time complexity $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{K}^{3}\right)$ in general, $O\left(K^{2}\right)$ in homogeneous pools

## Impact of locality
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$$
\text { Load } \rho=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}=0.9
$$

Study the impact of locality on performance under randomized assignment
(1) Global (2) Line

Impact of locality
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## Conclusion

- New recursive formula to predict the performance of balanced fairness in an arbitrary compatibility graph
- Exponential time complexity in the number of servers in general
- Practically interesting configurations where the complexity is polynomial
- Randomized assignment
- Line, nested, ring pools

