Sparse polynomial optimization: old and new

Victor Magron (LAAS CNRS)

Conférence PGMO 29 Novembre 2022



Dense polynomial optimization

NP-hard NON CONVEX Problem $f_{\min} = \inf f(\mathbf{x})$



Dense polynomial optimization





[Lasserre '01] HIERARCHY of **CONVEX PROBLEMS** $\uparrow f_{min}$ Based on representing positive polynomials [Putinar '93]



Dense polynomial optimization





[Lasserre '01] HIERARCHY of **CONVEX PROBLEMS** $\uparrow f_{min}$ Based on representing positive polynomials [Putinar '93]





V Attracted a lot of attention in optimization, applied mathematics, quantum computing, engineering, theoretical computer science

Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in f

Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in f $\rightsquigarrow f = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{99}x_{100}$



Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in f $\rightsquigarrow f = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{99}x_{100}$





Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in $f \rightarrow f = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{99}x_{100}$

Term sparsity: few terms in f



Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in $f \rightarrow f = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{99}x_{100}$

Term sparsity: few terms in $f \\ \rightsquigarrow f = x_1^{99}x_2 + x_1x_2^{100}$



Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in $f \rightarrow f = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{99}x_{100}$

Term sparsity: few terms in $f \\ \rightsquigarrow f = x_1^{99}x_2 + x_1x_2^{100}$

Ideal sparsity: constraints



Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in $f \rightarrow f = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{99}x_{100}$

Term sparsity: few terms in $f \\ \rightsquigarrow f = x_1^{99}x_2 + x_1x_2^{100}$

Ideal sparsity: constraints $\rightsquigarrow x_1x_2 = x_2x_3 = 0$





Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in $f \rightarrow f = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{99}x_{100}$

Term sparsity: few terms in $f \rightarrow f = x_1^{99}x_2 + x_1x_2^{100}$

Ideal sparsity: constraints $\rightsquigarrow x_1x_2 = x_2x_3 = 0$

PERFORMANCE









ACCURACY

vs

Structure exploitation with "SPARSE" cost f and constraints

Correlative sparsity: few variable products in $f \rightarrow f = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{99}x_{100}$

Term sparsity: few terms in $f \rightarrow f = x_1^{99}x_2 + x_1x_2^{100}$

Ideal sparsity: constraints $\rightsquigarrow x_1x_2 = x_2x_3 = 0$ 1 - 2 - 3 ----- 99 - 100



PERFORMANCE





Tons of applications: computer arithmetic, deep learning, entanglement, optimal power-flow, analysis of dynamical systems, matrix ranks

ACCURACY



Sparse polynomial optimization: old and new

Moment-SOS hierarchies

Correlative sparsity

Term sparsity

Ideal sparsity

Moment-SOS hierarchies

Correlative sparsity

Term sparsity

Ideal sparsity

NP hard General Problem: $f_{\min} := \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{x})$

Semialgebraic set $\mathbf{X} = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0}$

NP hard General Problem: $f_{\min} := \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{x})$

Semialgebraic set $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0\}$ $\mathbf{X} = [0, 1]^2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1(1 - x_1) \ge 0, \quad x_2(1 - x_2) \ge 0\}$

NP hard General Problem: $f_{\min} := \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{x})$

Semialgebraic set
$$\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0\}$$

 $\mathbf{X} = [0,1]^2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1(1-x_1) \ge 0, \quad x_2(1-x_2) \ge 0\}$
 $\overbrace{\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2}^f = \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_0}{1}}_{-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\left(x_1 + x_2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_1}{12}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{\frac{g_1}{x_1(1-x_1)}}_{\frac{g_1}{2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{12}}\underbrace{\frac{g_2}{x_2(1-x_2)}}_{\frac{g_2}{2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{12}}$

NP hard General Problem: $f_{\min} := \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{x})$

Semialgebraic set
$$\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0\}$$

 $\mathbf{X} = [0,1]^2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1(1-x_1) \ge 0, \quad x_2(1-x_2) \ge 0\}$
 $\overbrace{\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2}^f = \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_0}{1}}_{-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\left(x_1 + x_2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_1}{12}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{\frac{g_1}{x_1(1-x_1)}}_{\frac{g_1}{2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{12}}\underbrace{\frac{g_2}{x_2(1-x_2)}}_{\frac{g_2}{2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{12}}$

Sums of squares (SOS) σ_i

NP hard General Problem: $f_{\min} := \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{x})$

Semialgebraic set
$$\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0\}$$

 $\mathbf{X} = [0,1]^2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1(1-x_1) \ge 0, \quad x_2(1-x_2) \ge 0\}$
 $\overbrace{\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2}^{f} = \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_0}{1}}_{-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\left(x_1 + x_2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_1}{12}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{\frac{g_1}{x_1(1-x_1)}}_{\frac{g_1}{2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{12}}\underbrace{\frac{g_2}{x_2(1-x_2)}}_{\frac{g_2}{2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{12}}$

Sums of squares (SOS) σ_i

Quadratic module:
$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})_d = \left\{ \sigma_0 + \sum_j \sigma_j g_j, \deg \sigma_j g_j \leqslant 2d \right\}$$

Hierarchy of SDP relaxations: $\lambda_d := \sup_{\lambda} \left\{ \lambda : f - \lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})_d \right\}$

Hierarchy of SDP relaxations: $\lambda_d := \sup_{\lambda} \left\{ \lambda : f - \lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})_d \right\}$

✓ Convergence guarantees $\lambda_d \uparrow f_{\min}$ [Lasserre '01] when $N - \sum x_i^2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})$ for some N > 0

Hierarchy of SDP relaxations:
$$\lambda_d := \sup_{\lambda} \left\{ \lambda : f - \lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})_d \right\}$$

✓ Convergence guarantees $\lambda_d \uparrow f_{\min}$ [Lasserre '01] when $N - \sum x_i^2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})$ for some N > 0

✓ Can be computed with SDP solvers (CSDP, SDPA, MOSEK)

Hierarchy of SDP relaxations:
$$\lambda_d := \sup_{\lambda} \left\{ \lambda : f - \lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})_d \right\}$$

✓ Convergence guarantees $\lambda_d \uparrow f_{\min}$ [Lasserre '01] when $N - \sum x_i^2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})$ for some N > 0

✓ Can be computed with SDP solvers (CSDP, SDPA, MOSEK)

× "No Free Lunch" Rule: $\binom{n+2d}{n}$ SDP variables

Moment-SOS hierarchies

Correlative sparsity

Term sparsity

Ideal sparsity

Exploit few links between **variables** [Lasserre, Waki et al. '06] $x_2x_5 + x_3x_6 - x_2x_3 - x_5x_6 + x_1(-x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 + x_5 + x_6)$ Chordal graph after adding edge (3,5)

Y Exploit few links between variables [Lasserre, Waki et al. '06] $x_{2}x_{5} + x_{3}x_{6} - x_{2}x_{3} - x_{5}x_{6} + x_{1}(-x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} - x_{4} + x_{5} + x_{6})$ Chordal graph after adding edge (3,5) $I_1 = \{1, 4\}$ $I_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ maximal cliques I_k $I_3 = \{1, 3, 5, 6\}$ Dense SDP: 210 vars Sparse SDP: 115 vars Average size $\kappa \rightsquigarrow \kappa^{2d}$ vars

Theorem [Griewank Toint '84]

Chordal graph G with maximal cliques I_1 , I_2

 $Q_G \geq 0$ with nonzero entries at edges of G

 $\implies Q_G = P_1^T Q_1 P_1 + P_2^T Q_2 P_2$ with $Q_k \succeq 0$ indexed by I_k



Theorem [Griewank Toint '84]

Chordal graph G with maximal cliques I_1 , I_2

 $Q_G \geq 0$ with nonzero entries at edges of G

 $\implies Q_G = P_1^T Q_1 P_1 + P_2^T Q_2 P_2$ with $Q_k \succeq 0$ indexed by I_k



Sparse $f = f_1 + f_2$ where f_k involves **only** variables in I_k

Theorem [Griewank Toint '84]

Chordal graph G with maximal cliques I_1 , I_2

 $Q_{G} \geq 0$ with nonzero entries at edges of G

 $\implies Q_G = P_1^T Q_1 P_1 + P_2^T Q_2 P_2$ with $Q_k \succeq 0$ indexed by I_k



Sparse $f = f_1 + f_2$ where f_k involves **only** variables in I_k

Theorem: Sparse Putinar's representation [Lasserre '06]

f > 0 on $\{\mathbf{x} : g_j(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0\}$ chordal graph *G* with cliques $I_k \implies$ ball constraints for each $\mathbf{x}(I_k)$

$$f = \sigma_{01} + \sigma_{02} + \sum_{j} \sigma_{j} g_{j}$$

SOS σ_{0k} "sees" vars in I_{k}
 σ_{j} "sees" vars from g_{j}

Self-adjoint noncommutative (NC) variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$

Theorem [Helton & McCullough '02]

 $f \succcurlyeq 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ SOS (all positive polynomials are sums of squares)

Self-adjoint noncommutative (NC) variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$

Theorem [Helton & McCullough '02]

 $f \succcurlyeq 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ SOS (all positive polynomials are sums of squares)

BAD NEWS: there is **no** sparse analog! sparse f SOS $\Rightarrow f$ is a sparse SOS [Klep Magron Povh '21]

Self-adjoint noncommutative (NC) variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$

Theorem [Helton & McCullough '02]

 $f \succcurlyeq 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ SOS (all positive polynomials are sums of squares)

BAD NEWS: there is **no** sparse analog! sparse f SOS \Rightarrow f is a sparse SOS [Klep Magron Povh '21] Take $f = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2$

Self-adjoint noncommutative (NC) variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$

Theorem [Helton & McCullough '02]

 $f \succcurlyeq 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ SOS (all positive polynomials are sums of squares)

BAD NEWS: there is **no** sparse analog! sparse f SOS \Rightarrow f is a sparse SOS [Klep Magron Povh '21] Take $f = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2$

GOOD NEWS: there is an NC analog of the sparse Putinar's Positivstellensatz!

Self-adjoint noncommutative (NC) variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$

Theorem [Helton & McCullough '02]

 $f \succcurlyeq 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ SOS (all positive polynomials are sums of squares)

BAD NEWS: there is **no** sparse analog! sparse f SOS \Rightarrow f is a sparse SOS [Klep Magron Povh '21] Take $f = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2$

GOOD NEWS: there is an NC analog of the sparse Putinar's Positivstellensatz! Based on GNS construction & **amalgamation** [Blackadar '78, Voiculescu '85]
Extension to noncommutative optimization

Self-adjoint noncommutative (NC) variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$

Theorem [Helton & McCullough '02]

 $f \succcurlyeq 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ SOS (all positive polynomials are sums of squares)

BAD NEWS: there is **no** sparse analog! sparse f SOS \Rightarrow f is a sparse SOS [Klep Magron Povh '21] Take $f = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2$

GOOD NEWS: there is an NC analog of the sparse Putinar's Positivstellensatz! Based on GNS construction & **amalgamation** [Blackadar '78, Voiculescu '85]

Theorem: Sparse NC Positivstellensatz [Klep Magron Povh '21]

 $f = \sum_{k} f_{k}, f_{k} \text{ depends on } x(I_{k})$ $f > 0 \text{ on } \{\mathbf{x} : g_{j}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0\}$ chordal graph with cliques $I_{k} \implies$ ball constraints for each $\mathbf{x}(I_{k})$

$$f = \sum_{k,i} (s_{ki}^* s_{ki} + \sum_{j \in J_k} t_{ji}^* g_j t_{ji})$$

$$s_{ki} \text{ "sees" vars in } I_k$$

$$t_{ii} \text{ "sees" vars from } g_i$$

I₃₃₂₂ Bell inequality (entanglement in quantum information)

 $f = x_1(x_4 + x_5 + x_6) + x_2(x_4 + x_5 - x_6) + x_3(x_4 - x_5) - x_1 - 2x_4 - x_5$

Maximal violation levels \rightarrow **upper bounds** on λ_{\max} of f on $\{x : x_i^2 = x_i, x_i x_j = x_j x_i \text{ if } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, j \in \{4, 5, 6\}\}$

I₃₃₂₂ Bell inequality (entanglement in quantum information)

 $f = x_1(x_4 + x_5 + x_6) + x_2(x_4 + x_5 - x_6) + x_3(x_4 - x_5) - x_1 - 2x_4 - x_5$

I₃₃₂₂ Bell inequality (entanglement in quantum information)

 $f = x_1(x_4 + x_5 + x_6) + x_2(x_4 + x_5 - x_6) + x_3(x_4 - x_5) - x_1 - 2x_4 - x_5$

Maximal violation levels \rightarrow **upper bounds** on λ_{\max} of f on $\{x : x_i^2 = x_i, x_i x_j = x_j x_i \text{ if } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, j \in \{4, 5, 6\}\}$ $\forall I_k \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_{k+3}\}$

level	sparse
2	0.2550008

dense [Pál & Vértesi '18] 0.2509397

I₃₃₂₂ Bell inequality (entanglement in quantum information)

 $f = x_1(x_4 + x_5 + x_6) + x_2(x_4 + x_5 - x_6) + x_3(x_4 - x_5) - x_1 - 2x_4 - x_5$

level	sparse	dense [Pál & Vértesi '18]
2	0.2550008	0.2509397
3	0.2511592	0.2508756

I₃₃₂₂ Bell inequality (entanglement in quantum information)

 $f = x_1(x_4 + x_5 + x_6) + x_2(x_4 + x_5 - x_6) + x_3(x_4 - x_5) - x_1 - 2x_4 - x_5$

level	sparse	dense [Pál & Vértesi '18]
2	0.2550008	0.2509397
3	0.2511592	0.2508756
3'		0.2508754 (1 day)

I₃₃₂₂ Bell inequality (entanglement in quantum information)

 $f = x_1(x_4 + x_5 + x_6) + x_2(x_4 + x_5 - x_6) + x_3(x_4 - x_5) - x_1 - 2x_4 - x_5$

level	sparse	dense [Pál & Vértesi '18]
2	0.2550008	0.2509397
3	0.2511592	0.2508756
3'		0.2508754 (<mark>1 day</mark>)
4	0.2508917	

I₃₃₂₂ Bell inequality (entanglement in quantum information)

 $f = x_1(x_4 + x_5 + x_6) + x_2(x_4 + x_5 - x_6) + x_3(x_4 - x_5) - x_1 - 2x_4 - x_5$

Maximal violation levels \rightarrow **upper bounds** on λ_{\max} of f on $\{x : x_i^2 = x_i, x_i x_j = x_j x_i \text{ if } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, j \in \{4, 5, 6\}\}$ $\forall I_k \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_{k+3}\}$

level	sparse	dense [Pál & Vértesi '18]
2	0.2550008	0.2509397
3	0.2511592	0.2508756
3'		0.2508754 (1 day)
4	0.2508917	

5 0.25087<mark>63</mark>

I₃₃₂₂ Bell inequality (entanglement in quantum information)

$$f = x_1(x_4 + x_5 + x_6) + x_2(x_4 + x_5 - x_6) + x_3(x_4 - x_5) - x_1 - 2x_4 - x_5$$

Maximal violation levels \rightarrow **upper bounds** on λ_{\max} of f on $\{x : x_i^2 = x_i, x_i x_j = x_j x_i \text{ if } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, j \in \{4, 5, 6\}\}$ $\forall I_k \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_{k+3}\}$

of Ko

leve	el spars	e	dense [Pál & Vértesi '18]	
2	0.255	8000	0.2509397	
3	0.251	1592	0.2508756	
3'			0.25087 <mark>54</mark> (1	day)
4	0.250	8917		
5	0.250	87 <mark>63</mark>		
6	0.250	8753977180	(1 hour)	
Perform	MANCE		vs	ACCURACY

Sparse polynomial optimization: old and new

Moment-SOS hierarchies

Correlative sparsity

Term sparsity

Ideal sparsity

$$f = 4x_1^4x_2^6 + x_1^2 - x_1x_2^2 + x_2^2$$

spt(f) = {(4,6), (2,0), (1,2), (0,2)}

Newton polytope $\mathscr{B} = \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{spt}(f))$



$$f = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2^3 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{Q}_{\geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_1x_2^2 \\ x_1^2x_2^3 \end{pmatrix}$$





Victor Magron

Sparse polynomial optimization: old and new

[Postdoc Wang '19-21] ANR Tremplin-ERC



$$f = x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2 - 2x_1^2x_2 + 2x_1^2x_2^2 - 2x_2x_3 + 6x_3^2 + 9x_2^2x_3 - 45x_2x_3^2 + 142x_2^2x_3^2$$
[Reznick '78] \rightarrow Newton polytope method
$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_2x_1 & x_3x_2 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{Q}_{\geqslant 0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\rightsquigarrow \frac{6 \times 7}{2} = 21$ "unknown" entries in Q

[Postdoc Wang '19-21] ANR Tremplin-ERC



$$f = x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2 - 2x_1^2x_2 + 2x_1^2x_2^2 - 2x_2x_3 + 6x_3^2 + 9x_2^2x_3 - 45x_2x_3^2 + 142x_2^2x_3^2$$
[Reznick '78] \rightarrow Newton polytope method
$$f = (1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_2x_1 \quad x_3x_2) \underbrace{Q}_{\geqslant 0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\rightsquigarrow \frac{6 \times 7}{2} = 21$ "unknown" entries in Q
$$\xrightarrow{x_1x_2 \quad 1 \quad x_2x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_2x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_2x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3}_{x_1x_2 \quad x_3} = 11 \underbrace{x_1 \quad x_2$$

[Postdoc Wang '19-21] ANR Tremplin-ERC



$$f = x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2 - 2x_1^2x_2 + 2x_1^2x_2^2 - 2x_2x_3$$

$$+ 6x_3^2 + 9x_2^2x_3 - 45x_2x_3^2 + 142x_2^2x_3^2$$
[Reznick '78] \rightarrow Newton polytope method
$$f = (1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_2x_1 \quad x_3x_2) \underbrace{Q}_{\geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{6 \times 7}{2} = 21 \text{ "unknown" entries in } Q$$

$$\xrightarrow{x_1x_2}{1} \xrightarrow{x_2x_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{(x_1x_2)}{1} \xrightarrow{(x_2x_3)}{1} \xrightarrow{(x_2x_3)}{1} \xrightarrow{(x_2x_3)}{1}$$

 x_1

 x_2

[Postdoc Wang '19-21] ANR Tremplin-ERC



$$f = x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2 - 2x_1^2x_2 + 2x_1^2x_2^2 - 2x_2x_3$$

$$+ 6x_3^2 + 9x_2^2x_3 - 45x_2x_3^2 + 142x_2^2x_3^2$$
[Reznick '78] \rightarrow Newton polytope method
$$f = (1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_2x_1 \quad x_3x_2) \underbrace{Q}_{\Rightarrow 0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{6 \times 7}_2 = 21 \text{ "unknown" entries in } Q$$

$$\xrightarrow{x_1x_2}_{x_1} \underbrace{f_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_2 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_1x_$$

Replace Q by $Q_{G'}$ with nonzero entries at edges of $G' \rightarrow 6 + 9 = 15$ "unknown" entries in $Q_{G'}$

Sparse polynomial optimization: old and new

At step d of the hierarchy, tsp graph G has

Nodes V = monomials of degree $\leq d$

At step d of the hierarchy, tsp graph G has

```
Nodes V = monomials of degree \leq d
Edges E with
```

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}\in E\Leftrightarrow \alpha+\beta\in \operatorname{supp} f\bigcup\operatorname{supp} g_j\bigcup_{|\alpha|\leqslant d}2\alpha$$

At step d of the hierarchy, tsp graph G has

Nodes V = monomials of degree $\leq d$ Edges E with

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}\in E\Leftrightarrow \alpha+\beta\in \operatorname{supp} f\bigcup\operatorname{supp} g_j\bigcup_{|\alpha|\leqslant d}2\alpha$$



Sparse polynomial optimization: old and new

Term sparsity: support extension

$\alpha' + \beta' = \alpha + \beta$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in E \Rightarrow (\alpha', \beta') \in E$



At step d of the hierarchy, tsp graph G has

Nodes V = monomials of degree $\leq d$

At step d of the hierarchy, tsp graph G has

Nodes V = monomials of degree $\leq d$ Edges E with

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}\in E\Leftrightarrow \alpha+\beta\in \operatorname{supp} f\bigcup \operatorname{supp} g_j\bigcup_{|\alpha|\leqslant d}2\alpha$$

→ support extension

At step d of the hierarchy, tsp graph G has

Nodes V = monomials of degree $\leq d$ Edges E with

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}\in E\Leftrightarrow \alpha+\beta\in \operatorname{supp} f\bigcup\operatorname{supp} g_j\bigcup_{|\alpha|\leqslant d}2\alpha$$

 \rightsquigarrow support extension \rightsquigarrow chordal extension G'

At step d of the hierarchy, tsp graph G has

Nodes V = monomials of degree $\leq d$ Edges E with

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}\in E\Leftrightarrow \alpha+\beta\in \operatorname{supp} f\bigcup\operatorname{supp} g_j\bigcup_{|\alpha|\leqslant d}2\alpha$$

 \rightsquigarrow support extension \rightsquigarrow chordal extension G'

By iteratively performing support extension & chordal extension

$$G^{(1)} = G' \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G^{(\ell)} \subseteq G^{(\ell+1)} \subseteq \cdots$$

V Two-level hierarchy of lower bounds for f_{\min} , indexed by sparse order ℓ and relaxation order d

Victor Magron

Sparse polynomial optimization: old and new

Term sparsity

V CONVERGENCE GUARANTEES

Term sparsity

♥ CONVERGENCE GUARANTEES

Term sparsity

V CONVERGENCE GUARANTEES

Y handles Combo with correlative sparsity

1 Partition the variables w.r.t. the maximal cliques of the csp graph

V CONVERGENCE GUARANTEES

- **1** Partition the variables w.r.t. the maximal cliques of the csp graph
- 2 For each subsystem involving variables from one maximal clique, apply the iterative procedure to exploit term sparsity

V CONVERGENCE GUARANTEES

- **1** Partition the variables w.r.t. the maximal cliques of the csp graph
- 2 For each subsystem involving variables from one maximal clique, apply the iterative procedure to exploit term sparsity
- \forall two-level hierarchy of lower bounds for f_{min} : CS-TSSOS hierarchy

[™] CONVERGENCE GUARANTEES

- **1** Partition the variables w.r.t. the maximal cliques of the csp graph
- 2 For each subsystem involving variables from one maximal clique, apply the iterative procedure to exploit term sparsity
- \forall two-level hierarchy of lower bounds for f_{min} : CS-TSSOS hierarchy
- \overleftrightarrow Julia library TSSOS \rightarrow solve problems with $n=10^3$

[™] CONVERGENCE GUARANTEES

- **1** Partition the variables w.r.t. the maximal cliques of the csp graph
- 2 For each subsystem involving variables from one maximal clique, apply the iterative procedure to exploit term sparsity
- \forall two-level hierarchy of lower bounds for f_{min} : CS-TSSOS hierarchy
- \overleftarrow{V} Julia library TSSOS \rightarrow solve problems with $n=10^3$
- V choice of the CHORDAL EXTENSION: min / max

Minimize active power injections of an alternating current transmission network under physical + operational constraints





Minimize active power injections of an alternating current transmission network under physical + operational constraints





Artificial version of the control problem for electricity transmission network

Network = Graph with buses N, from edges E, to edges E^R

Network = Graph with buses *N*, from edges *E*, to edges E^R Generators at bus $i = G_i$, with power demand S_i^d V_i and S_k^g = voltage at bus *i* and power generation at generator *k*

Network = Graph with buses *N*, from edges *E*, to edges E^R Generators at bus $i = G_i$, with power demand S_i^d V_i and S_k^g = voltage at bus *i* and power generation at generator *k*

Kirchhoff law: $I_i = \sum_{(i,j) \in E_i \cup E_i^R} I_{ij} + I_i^{gr}$



Network = Graph with buses *N*, from edges *E*, to edges E^R Generators at bus $i = G_i$, with power demand S_i^d V_i and S_k^g = voltage at bus *i* and power generation at generator *k*

Kirchhoff law:
$$I_i = \sum_{(i,j) \in E_i \cup E_i^R} I_{ij} + I_i^{gr}$$



Ohm law:
$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{ij} \\ I_{ji} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{Y}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} V_i \\ V_j \end{pmatrix}$$
Application to AC optimal power-flow

Network = Graph with buses *N*, from edges *E*, to edges E^R Generators at bus $i = G_i$, with power demand S_i^d V_i and S_k^g = voltage at bus *i* and power generation at generator *k*

Kirchhoff law:
$$I_i = \sum_{(i,j) \in E_i \cup E_i^R} I_{ij} + I_i^{gr}$$



Ohm law:
$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{ij} \\ I_{ji} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{Y}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} V_i \\ V_j \end{pmatrix}$$

Relation power-voltage-current: $\sum_{k \in G_i} S_k^g - \mathbf{S}_i^d = V_i I_i^{\star}$

Application to AC optimal power-flow

Network = Graph with buses *N*, from edges *E*, to edges E^R Generators at bus $i = G_i$, with power demand \mathbf{S}_i^d V_i and S_k^g = voltage at bus *i* and power generation at generator *k*

Kirchhoff law:
$$I_i = \sum_{(i,j) \in E_i \cup E_i^R} I_{ij} + I_i^{gr}$$



Ohm law:
$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{ij} \\ I_{ji} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{Y}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} V_i \\ V_j \end{pmatrix}$$

Relation power-voltage-current: $\sum_{k \in G_i} S_k^g - \mathbf{S}_i^d = V_i I_i^* \rightarrow \text{leads to power-flow equations}$

mb: maximal block size

gap: the optimality gap w.r.t. local optimal solution

11	т	CS (<i>d</i> = 2)			CS-TSSOS ($d = 2, \ell = 1$)		
п		mb	time	gap	mb	time	gap
1110	4612	231	3114	0.85%	39	46.6	0.86%
1112	4013	496	_	_	31	410	0.25%
4356	18257	378	_	_	27	934	0.51%
6698	29283	1326	_	_	76	1886	0.47%

Moment-SOS hierarchies

Correlative sparsity

Term sparsity

Ideal sparsity

$$f_{\min} = \min\{f(x_1, x_2) : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$$

$$f_{\min} = \min\{f(x_1, x_2) : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$$

 $= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0 \text{ whenever } x_1x_2 = 0\}$

$$f_{\min} = \min\{f(x_1, x_2) : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$$

$$= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0 \text{ whenever } x_1x_2 = 0\}$$

$$= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0, \quad f(0, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0\}$$

$$f_{\min} = \min\{f(x_1, x_2) : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$$

 $= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0 \text{ whenever } x_1x_2 = 0\}$

 $= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0, \quad f(0, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0\}$ $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{V}}$ replace $f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0$ by $f(x_1, 0) - \lambda = \sigma_1(x_1)$ with SOS σ_1

$$f_{\min} = \min\{f(x_1, x_2) : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$$

 $= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0 \text{ whenever } x_1x_2 = 0\}$

$$= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0, \quad f(0, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0\}$$

 \forall replace $f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0$ by $f(x_1, 0) - \lambda = \sigma_1(x_1)$ with SOS σ_1

Generalization to ideal constraints $\{x_i x_j = 0 \quad \forall (i, j) \in \overline{E}\}$

$$f_{\min} = \min\{f(x_1, x_2) : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$$

 $= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0 \text{ whenever } x_1x_2 = 0\}$

$$= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0, \quad f(0, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0\}$$

 \forall replace $f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0$ by $f(x_1, 0) - \lambda = \sigma_1(x_1)$ with SOS σ_1

Generalization to ideal constraints $\{x_i x_j = 0 \quad \forall (i, j) \in \overline{E}\}$ \rightsquigarrow max. cliques of the graph with vertices $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ & edges *E*

$$f_{\min} = \min\{f(x_1, x_2) : x_1 x_2 = 0\}$$

 $= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0 \text{ whenever } x_1x_2 = 0\}$

$$= \sup\{\lambda : f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0, \quad f(0, x_2) - \lambda \ge 0\}$$

V replace $f(x_1, 0) - \lambda \ge 0$ by $f(x_1, 0) - \lambda = \sigma_1(x_1)$ with SOS σ_1

Generalization to ideal constraints $\{x_i x_j = 0 \quad \forall (i, j) \in \overline{E}\}$ \rightsquigarrow max. cliques of the graph with vertices $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ & edges *E*

Theorem [Korda-Laurent-M-Steenkamp '22]

Ideal-sparse hierarchies provide better bounds than the dense ones



ACCURACY

Victor Magron

Given a symmetric nonnegative matrix A, find the smallest r s.t.

$$A = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{\ell} a_{\ell}^{T} \qquad \text{ for } a_{\ell} \geqslant 0$$

r is called the completely positive rank

Given a symmetric nonnegative matrix A, find the smallest r s.t.

$$A = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{\ell} a_{\ell}^{T} \qquad \text{for } a_{\ell} \ge 0$$

r is called the completely positive rank **X** hard to compute

Given a symmetric nonnegative matrix A, find the smallest r s.t.

$$A = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{\ell} a_{\ell}^{T} \qquad \text{for } a_{\ell} \ge 0$$

- r is called the completely positive rank
- X hard to compute

Relax/convexify with a linear program over measures

$$r \ge \inf_{\mu} \{ \int_{K_A} 1d\mu : \int_{K_A} x_i x_j d\mu = A_{ij} \ (i, j \in V) \ , \quad \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subseteq K_A \}$$

Given a symmetric nonnegative matrix A, find the smallest r s.t.

$$A = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{\ell} a_{\ell}^{T} \qquad \text{for } a_{\ell} \ge 0$$

r is called the completely positive rank

A hard to compute

Relax/convexify with a linear program over measures

$$r \ge \inf_{\mu} \{ \int_{K_A} 1d\mu : \int_{K_A} x_i x_j d\mu = A_{ij} \ (i, j \in V) \ , \quad \mathsf{supp}(\mu) \subseteq K_A \}$$

$$K_{A} = \{ \mathbf{x} : \sqrt{A_{ii}}x_{i} - x_{i} \ge 0, \quad A_{ij} - x_{i}x_{j} \ge 0 \ (i,j) \in E_{A}, \\ x_{i}x_{j} = 0 \ (i,j) \in \overline{E}_{A}, \quad A - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{T} \succcurlyeq 0 \}$$

Victor Magron

Sparse polynomial optimization: old and new

Random instances, order 2

Random instances, order 2



Size and nonzero density of the matrix

Random instances, order 2



SPARSITY EXPLOITING CONVERGING HIERARCHIES to minimize large-scale polynomials

FAST IMPLEMENTATION IN JULIA: TSSOS

 $\overleftarrow{\mathsf{V}}$ Combine correlative & term sparsity \rightsquigarrow solves problems with thousand variables

Why should you do polynomial optimization?

Why should you do polynomial optimization?

V powerful & accurate MODELING tool for many applications

Why should you do polynomial optimization?

V powerful & accurate MODELING tool for many applications

V EFFICIENCY guaranteed on structured applications: deep learning, quantum information, energy networks

Thank you for your attention!

https://homepages.laas.fr/vmagron



Magron & Wang. Sparse polynomial optimization: theory and practice. To appear in *Series on Optimization* and Its Applications, World Scientific Press, 2022





Korda, Laurent, Magron & Steenkamp. Exploiting ideal-sparsity in the generalized moment problem with application to matrix factorization ranks, arxiv:2209.09573



Gavril. Algorithms for minimum coloring, maximum clique, minimum covering by cliques, and maximum independent set of a chordal graph. SIAM Comp., 1972



Griewank & Toint. Numerical experiments with partially separable optimization problems. Numerical analysis, 1984



Agler, Helton, McCullough & Rodman. Positive semidefinite matrices with a given sparsity pattern. Linear algebra & its applications, 1988

- Blair & Peyton. An introduction to chordal graphs and clique trees. Graph theory & sparse matrix computation, 1993



Vandenberghe & Andersen. Chordal graphs and semidefinite optimization. Foundations & Trends in Optim., 2015



Lasserre. Convergent SDP-relaxations in polynomial optimization with sparsity. SIAM Optim., 2006



Waki, Kim, Kojima & Muramatsu. Sums of squares and semidefinite program relaxations for polynomial optimization problems with structured sparsity. SIAM Optim., 2006



Magron, Constantinides, & Donaldson. Certified Roundoff Error Bounds Using Semidefinite Programming. Trans. Math. Softw., 2017



Magron. Interval Enclosures of Upper Bounds of Roundoff Errors Using Semidefinite Programming. Trans. Math. Softw., 2018



Josz & Molzahn. Lasserre hierarchy for large scale polynomial optimization in real and complex variables. SIAM Optim., 2018



Weisser, Lasserre & Toh. Sparse-BSOS: a bounded degree SOS hierarchy for large scale polynomial optimization with sparsity. Math. Program., 2018



Chen, Lasserre, Magron & Pauwels. A sublevel Moment-SOS hierarchy for polynomial optimization, Computational Optimization and Applications, 2022



Chen, Lasserre, Magron & Pauwels. Semialgebraic Representation of Monotone Deep Equilibrium Models and Applications to Certification. NeuRIPS 2021



Mai, Lasserre & Magron. A sparse version of Reznick's Positivstellensatz, Math OR, 2022



Tacchi, Weisser, Lasserre & Henrion. Exploiting sparsity for semi-algebraic set volume computation. Foundations of Comp. Math., 2021



Tacchi, Cardozo, Henrion & Lasserre. Approximating regions of attraction of a sparse polynomial differential system. IFAC, 2020



Schlosser & Korda. Sparse moment-sum-of-squares relaxations for nonlinear dynamical systems with guaranteed convergence. arxiv:2012.05572



Zheng & Fantuzzi. Sum-of-squares chordal decomposition of polynomial matrix inequalities.

arxiv:2007.11410



Klep, Magron & Povh. Sparse Noncommutative Polynomial Optimization. *Math Prog. A*, arxiv:1909.00569 NCSOStools



Reznick. Extremal PSD forms with few terms. Duke mathematical journal, 1978





Wang, Magron & Lasserre. Chordal-TSSOS: a moment-SOS hierarchy that exploits term sparsity with chordal extension. SIAM Optim., 2021

Wang, Magron, Lasserre & Mai. CS-TSSOS: Correlative and term sparsity for large-scale polynomial optimization. Trans. Math. Soft., 2022



Magron & Wang. TSSOS: a Julia library to exploit sparsity for large-scale polynomial optimization, MEGA, 2021



Parrilo & Jadbabaie. Approximation of the joint spectral radius using sum of squares. Linear Algebra & its Applications, 2008



Wang, Maggio & Magron. SparseJSR: A fast algorithm to compute joint spectral radius via sparse sos decompositions. ACC 2021



Vreman, Pazzaglia, Wang, Magron & Maggio. Stability of control systems under extended weakly-hard constraints. arxiv:2101.11312





Wang & Magron. Exploiting term sparsity in Noncommutative Polynomial Optimization. Computational Optimization & Applications, arxiv:2010.06956 NCTSS0S



Navascués, Pironio & Acín. A convergent hierarchy of semidefinite programs characterizing the set of quantum correlations. New Journal of Physics, 2008



Klep, Magron & Volčič. Optimization over trace polynomials. Annales Henri Poincaré, 2021