S-переменные для получения результатов в виде матричных неравенств

и несколько результатов для робастного анализа дускретных систем

Dimitri PEAUCELLE / Дмитрий Жанович Посель-Коновалов LAAS-CNRS - Université de Toulouse - FRANCE

homepages.laas.fr/peaucell

Санкт-Петербург



Октябрь 2013

#### Slack variables for deriving LMI results

and some examples of such results for robust analysis of discrete-time systems



To be submitted to 19th IFAC World Congress / Cape Town

Since year 2000 many results in the LMI-framework tend to introduce some additional (slack) variables that are apparently unnecessary from the Lyapunov theory. Such variables are related to Finsler lemma that can also be seen as a variant of the S-procedure. The methodology producing these variables is sometimes called the descriptor form approach and results sometimes designated as dilated, or extended, LMIs. In this talk we shall explain the rationale of all these appellations. We shall show that the slack variables are useful for robust analysis and are not if all system parameters are known. Issues of numerical complexity induced by the slack variables will also be discussed. Finally, if we have time, some new results for robust analysis of switching discrete-time systems will be exposed.



Positive definite matrices  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

$$M = M^T \succ 0$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0 , \ \mathbf{x}^T M \mathbf{x} > 0$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \lambda(M) > 0$$

 $\mathbf{S}^n_+ = \{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n} : M = M^T \succ 0\}$  is an open convex cone

Linear matrix inequality constraints [BGFB94, NN94, EGN00]
A Representation with scalar decision variables

$$M(y) = M_0 + \sum y_i M_i \succ 0 \ , \ y_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

Representation with matrix decision variables

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

$$\begin{aligned} M(Y_s, Y_f) &= M_0 + \left(\sum N_{si}^T Y_{si} N_{si}\right) + \left(\sum N_{1j}^T Y_{fj} N_{2j} + N_{2j}^T Y_{fj}^T N_{1j}\right) \\ Y_{si} &= Y_{si}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{si} \times n_{si}} \ , \ Y_{fj} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{fj} \times p_{fj}} \end{aligned}$$

Санкт-Петербург, Июнь 2013

# About LMIs

# Convex constraints

- Exist efficient solvers (Semi-Definite Programming) for (polynomial-time) optimization
- Recommended (free) tool in Matlab : YALMIP users.isy.liu.se/johanl/yalmip
- A nice lecture about LMIs homepages.laas.fr/henrion/courses/lmi13/
- Any "LMI representable" problem is considered as "solved"
- A Numerical burden grow very fast with size of problem:  $O(n^6)$
- Example: Global optimization over polynomials is (almost) "solved"
- See results on SOS and the moment problem

[Las01, Las02, Las06, HL03], [Par03, PPSP04], [SH06]

## A.M. Lyapunov - "grand father of LMIs"

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

- Asymptotic stability of a linear system proved with existence of V s.t.

V.A. Yakubovich - "father of LMIs"

S-procedure

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

$$\mathbf{x}^T Q_0 \mathbf{x} < 0$$
,  $\forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0$  :  $\mathbf{x}^T Q_1 \mathbf{x} \le 0$   $\Leftrightarrow$   $\exists \tau > 0$  :  $Q_0 \prec \tau Q_1$ 

(\(\tau\) denoted s in first publication using this technique [E.N. Rozenvasser 1963])
 KYP lemma

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} \\ \boldsymbol{u} \end{pmatrix}^* M \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} \\ \boldsymbol{u} \end{pmatrix} < 0, \ \forall \boldsymbol{u} \neq 0, \ \forall \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R} : (e^{j\boldsymbol{\omega}}I - A)\boldsymbol{x} = B\boldsymbol{u}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \ \exists \boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{P}^T : \ M \prec \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P} & 0 \\ 0 & -\boldsymbol{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### P. Finsler

# Finsler's lemma

$$\begin{split} y^T M y < 0 \ , \ \forall y \neq 0 \ : \ B y &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow B^{\perp T} M B^{\perp} \prec 0 \ : \ B B^{\perp} &= 0 \ , \ \operatorname{rank}(B) = \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(B)) \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists \tau \ : \ M \prec \tau B^T B \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists F \ : \ M \prec F B + B^T F \end{split}$$

A Example: S-procedure

$$y^{T}My < 0 , \forall y \neq 0 : By = 0$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow y^{T}My < 0 , \forall y \neq 0 : y^{T}B^{T}By \leq 0$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \tau : M \prec \tau B^{T}B$$



P. Finsler

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

$$\begin{split} y^T M y &< 0 \ , \ \forall y \neq 0 \ : \ B y = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow B^{\perp T} M B^{\perp} \prec 0 \ : \ B B^{\perp} = 0 \ , \ \operatorname{rank}(B) = \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(B)) \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists \tau \ : \ M \prec \tau B^T B \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists F \ : \ M \prec F B + B^T F \end{split}$$

A Example: The Lyapunov result 
$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x_{k+1}^T & x_k^T \end{pmatrix}^T$$

$$V_{k+1} - V_k = \mathbf{y}^T \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & -P \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} < 0 : \forall \mathbf{y} \neq 0 : \begin{bmatrix} I & -A \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} = 0$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow A^T P A - P = B^{\perp T} \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & -P \end{bmatrix} B^{\perp} \prec 0 : B^{\perp} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice the descriptor-like representation of the model:  $\begin{bmatrix} I & -A \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} = 0.$ 

# Санкт-Петербург, Июнь 2013

Finsler's lemma

$$\begin{split} y^T M y &< 0 \ , \ \forall y \neq 0 \ : \ B y = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow B^{\perp T} M B^{\perp} \prec 0 \ : \ B B^{\perp} = 0 \ , \ \operatorname{rank}(B) = \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(B)) \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists \tau \ : \ M \prec \tau B^T B \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists F \ : \ M \prec F B + B^T F \end{split}$$

What if introducing F? Approach known as: "Finsler based" - "Slack variables" - "dilated LMI" - "extended LMI" - "descriptor"

For robust analysis results (LTI, LTV, TDS, Periodic...):

[PABB00, DOS01, OG05, EPAH05, PDSV09, EPA09, PS09, TPAE13]...

For robust state-feedback and filter design:

[OBG99, OGH99, AP00, GdOB02, FS02, EH02, EH04, PG05, EPA11]...

For output feedback and anti-windup design:

[APT00, AHP02, PA01, ACP06, GKB07, AGPP10, TGGdSJQ11]...

Finsler's lemma

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{y}^T M \mathbf{y} &< 0 \ , \ \forall \mathbf{y} \neq 0 \ : \ B \mathbf{y} = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow B^{\perp T} M B^{\perp} \prec 0 \ : \ B B^{\perp} = 0 \ , \ \operatorname{rank}(B) = \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(B)) \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists \tau \ : \ M \prec \tau B^T B \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{F} \ : \ M \prec \mathbf{F} B + B^T \mathbf{F} \end{split}$$

What if introducing F?

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

Example: stability of  $x_{k+1} = Ax_k$ :

$$\exists P \succ 0, F : \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & -P \end{bmatrix} \prec F \begin{bmatrix} I & -A \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} I \\ -A^T \end{bmatrix} F^T$$

△  $2n \times 2n$  LMIs with  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 2n^2$  variables !! ( $n \times n$  and  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  in original problem) △ Why using such a numerically expensive condition ? Discrete-time system with uncertainties  $a \in [1, 2]$ ,  $b \in [-0.5, \beta]$ .

$$ay_{k+2} + b^2 y_{k+1} + aby_k = 0.$$

By hand: Robust stability is guaranteed for eta < 1.

Can we build an LMI problem that guarantees robust stability for fixed  $\beta$ ?



Discrete-time system with uncertainties  $a \in [1, 2]$ ,  $b \in [-0.5, \beta]$ .

• State-space representation 
$$x_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} -b^2/a & -b \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x_k = A(a, b)x_k.$$
  
▲ Interval arithmetics:  $b^2/a \in [0, \beta^2]$  (assuming  $\beta \ge 0.5$ )

$$\left\{ A(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}) , \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{a} \in [1,2] \\ \boldsymbol{b} \in [-0.5,\beta] \end{array} \right\} \subset CO \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\beta \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} -\beta^2 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -\beta^2 & -\beta \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right\}$$

DEnters the general formulation of polytopic systems  $x_{k+1} = A(\theta) x_k$ 

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

$$A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in CO\left\{A^{[1]}, A^{[2]}, \dots A^{[\bar{v}]}\right\}$$

 $\textbf{ I.e. } A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{v=1}^{\bar{v}} \theta_{v} A^{[v]} \text{ where } \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Xi_{\bar{v}} = \{ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{v} \geq 0 \ , \ \sum_{v=1}^{\bar{v}} \theta_{v} = 1 \}.$ 

Robust stability condition: existence of  $P(\theta)$  s.t.

 $P(\theta) \succ 0$ ,  $A(\theta)^T P(\theta) A(\theta) - P(\theta) \prec 0$ ,  $\forall \theta \in \Xi_{\bar{v}}$ 

Conservative assumption:  $P(\theta) = P$  unique Lyapunov Matrix  $\forall \theta$ 

$$\boldsymbol{P} \succ 0 \ , \ A(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T \boldsymbol{P} A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \boldsymbol{P} \prec 0 \ , \ \forall A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in CO\left\{A^{[1]}, A^{[2]}, \dots A^{[\bar{v}]}\right\}$$

Convexity of  $\mathbb{S}_+$  allows to conclude that

$$\Leftrightarrow P \succ 0 \ , \ A^{[v]T} P A^{[v]} - P \prec 0 \ , \ \forall v = 1 \dots \bar{v}$$

Actually, vertex  $\begin{bmatrix} -\beta^2 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is unstable as soon as  $\beta = 0.7071$ 

Need for better representations of the model with uncertainties

$$ay_{k+2} + b^2 y_{k+1} + aby_k = 0.$$

Equivalent model, affine in the uncertainties

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x_{k+1} + \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \pi_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} x_k.$$

General descriptor model to be considered here:

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

$$E_x(\theta)x_{k+1} + E_\pi(\theta)\pi_k = F(\theta)x_k$$

• Assumption:  $E(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} E_x(\theta) & E_\pi(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$  square invertible  $\forall \theta \in \Xi_{\bar{v}}$ 

System is causal, without impulsive modes,  $\pi_k$  well defined for all  $k \ge 0$ .

General descriptor model:

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

$$M(\boldsymbol{\theta})\boldsymbol{y_k} = \begin{bmatrix} E_x(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & E_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & -F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{x_{k+1}} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi_k} \\ \boldsymbol{x_k} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Robust stability if exists  $V(k, \theta) = x_k^T P(\theta) x_k$  such that

$$\begin{array}{l} V(k+1,\theta) \\ -V(k,\theta) \end{array} = y_k^T \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} P(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P(\theta) \end{array} \right] y_k < 0 \; , \; \forall y_k \neq 0 \; : \; M(\theta) y_k = 0 \\ \end{array}$$

Finsler lemma: equivalent condition (should hold  $\forall \theta \in \Xi_{\bar{v}}$ ).

$$\exists \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \succ 0 \\ \exists \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{cases} : \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} < \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{M}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \boldsymbol{F}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Санкт-Петербург, Июнь 2013

Finsler lemma based robust stability condition (should hold  $\forall \theta \in \Xi_{\bar{v}}$ ).

$$\exists P(\theta) \succ 0 \\ \exists F(\theta) \end{cases} : \begin{bmatrix} P(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P(\theta) \end{bmatrix} < F(\theta) M(\theta) + M^T(\theta) F^T(\theta)$$

Conservative assumption:  $F(\theta) = F$  unique  $\forall \theta$ .

$$\exists \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \succ 0 \\ \exists \boldsymbol{F} : \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} < \boldsymbol{F} M(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + M^{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \boldsymbol{F}^{T}, \ \forall \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Xi_{\bar{v}}$$

Convexity of  $\mathbb{S}_+$  allows to conclude that

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \exists P^{[v]} \succ 0 \\ \exists F \end{array} : \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} P^{[v]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P^{[v]} \end{array} \right] < FM^{[v]} + M^{[v]T}F^{T}, \ \forall v = 1 \dots \bar{v} \end{array}$$

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

# Санкт-Петербург, Июнь 2013

$$\begin{aligned} \exists P^{[v]} \succ 0 \\ \exists F \end{aligned} : \begin{bmatrix} P^{[v]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P^{[v]} \end{bmatrix} < FM^{[v]} + M^{[v]T}F^T, \ \forall v = 1 \dots \bar{v} \end{aligned}$$

Stability proved with  $P( heta) = \sum_{v=1}^{ar{v}} heta_v P^{[v]}$  (consequence of the choice of F unique)

- LMIs of very large dimensions:
- A Number of variables:  $\overline{v}\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+p)(2n+p)$
- A Number of rows of LMIs:  $\bar{v}(3n+p)$
- For the considered example
- $\blacktriangle$  LMI feasible up to  $\beta=0.9805$
- $\land$  Number of variables =27 ; number of rows =28

$$\exists \mathbf{P}^{[v]} \succ 0 \\ \exists \mathbf{F} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{[v]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{P}^{[v]} \end{bmatrix} < \mathbf{F} M^{[v]T} \mathbf{F}^{T}, \ \forall v = 1 \dots \bar{v}$$

LMIs of very large dimensions:

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

 $\land$  Can the size be reduced when  $P^{[v]} = P$  ("quadratic stability" case) ? YES

 $\blacktriangle$  Can the size be reduced when some components  $M_{ij}^{[v]} = M_{ij}$  ? YES

Results are conservative (F( heta)=F), can conservatism be reduced ? YES

$$\exists \mathbf{P}^{[v]} \succ 0 \\ \exists \mathbf{F} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{[v]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{P}^{[v]} \end{bmatrix} < \mathbf{F} M^{[v]T} \mathbf{F}^{T}, \ \forall v = 1 \dots \bar{v}$$

▲ Can the size be reduced when  $P^{[v]} = P$  ("quadratic stability" case) ? YES ● Example for the case when  $M(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} I & -A(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$ ▲ Alternative LMI (more conservative because  $P^{[v]} = P$ )

$$\exists \mathbf{P} \succ 0 , \ A^{[v]T} \mathbf{P} A^{[v]} - \mathbf{P} \prec 0 , \ \forall v = 1 \dots \bar{v}$$

• This can be generalized to all parameter-independent columns of  $M(\theta)$  associated to positive semi-definite diagonal elements in the left-hand side matrix.

A Not applicable for systems where  $M(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} E(\theta) & -A \end{bmatrix}$ 

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

Санкт-Петербург, Июнь 2013

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

$$\exists P^{[v]} \succ 0 \\ \exists F \end{cases} : \begin{bmatrix} P^{[v]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P^{[v]} \end{bmatrix} < FM^{[v]} + M^{[v]T}F^T, \ \forall v = 1 \dots \bar{v}$$

A Can the size be reduced when some rows  $M_{i:}^{[v]} = M_{i:}$  ? YES

٦

• Assume 
$$M(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} M_1(\theta) \\ M_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, then equivalent LMI (no conservatism)  
$$\exists P^{[v]} \succ 0 \\ \exists \hat{F} \end{cases} : M_2^{\perp T} \begin{bmatrix} P^{[v]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P^{[v]} \end{bmatrix} M_2^{\perp} < \hat{F} M_1^{[v]} M_2^{\perp} + M_2^{\perp T} M_1^{[v]T} \hat{F}^T$$

 $\land$  Size of LMIs and number of decision variables reduced by rank $(M_2)$  $\wedge$  For considered example: Number of variables =20; number of rows =24 Results are conservative ( $F(\theta) = F$ ), can conservatism be reduced ? YES

Purely mathematical approach [Sch05, SH06, Sch06, OP06, PS09]...
 Solve the parameter-dependent LMIs for polynomial choices of P(0) and F(0)
 Alternative, "model augmentation" technique.

Illustration on the example

D. Peaucelle LAAS-CNRS

 $ay_{k+3} + b^2 y_{k+2} + aby_{k+1} = 0$  $ay_{k+2} + b^2 y_{k+1} + aby_k = 0$ 

Augmented model has increased size descriptor modeling to which results apply
 LMIs are of augmented size: Number of variables =48 ; number of rows =32
 Conservatism is reduced: β = 0.99519
 Equivalent to searching for implicitly defined forms of P(θ) and F(θ)

- "Slack-variables" framework
- Extends Lyapunov, S-procedure type LMI results
- Easy to manipulate, even for descriptor systems
- Contributes to conservatism reduction
- A Numerical complexity is increased
- ... but can be controlled
- A In particular: non need for slack variables if system without uncertainties
- Non discussed issues
- Design of state-feedback, filter etc.
- Continuous-time systems
- Performances:  $H_{\infty}$ ,  $H_2$  etc
- Other than LTI systems: switching, time-delay, periodic etc.
- Springer monograph by Y. Ebihara & D. Peaucelle to be published in 2014

# References

- [ACP06] D. Arzelier, B. Clement, and D. Peaucelle, *Multi-objective*  $H_2/H_{\infty}$ /*impulse-to-peak control of a space launch vehicle*, European J. of Control **12** (2006), no. 1.
- [AGPP10] D. Arzelier, E.N. Gryazina, D. Peaucelle, and B.T. Polyak, *Mixed LMI/randomized methods for static output feedback control design*, American Control Conference (Baltimore), June 2010.
- [AHP02] D. Arzelier, D. Henrion, and D. Peaucelle, *Robust D-stabilization of a polytope of matrices*, Int. J. Control **75** (2002), no. 10, 744–752.
- [AP00] D. Arzelier and D. Peaucelle, *Robust multi-objective state-feedback control for real parametric uncertainties via parameter-dependent Lyapunov functions*, IFAC Symposium on Robust Control Design (Prague), vol. 1, June 2000, pp. 213–218.
- [APT00] P. Apkarian, P.C. Pellanda, and H.D. Tuan, *Mixed*  $H_2/H_{\infty}$  *multi-channel linear parameter-varying control in discrete time*, American Control Conference (Chicago, II), June 2000, pp. 1322–1326.
- [Bar85] B.R. Barmish, *Necessary and sufficient condition for quadratic stabilizability of an uncertain system*, J.Optimization Theory and Applications 46 (1985), no. 4.
- [BGFB94] S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan, *Linear matrix inequalities in system and control theory*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 1994.
- [DOS01] M.C. De Oliveira and R.E. Skelton, *Perspectives in robust control*, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, ch. Stability tests for constrained linear systems, pp. 241–257, Springer, 2001, edited by

S.O. Reza Moheimani.

- [EGN00] L. El Ghaoui and S.-I. Niculescu (eds.), *Advances in linear matrix inequality methods in control*, Advances in Design and Control, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2000.
- Y. Ebihara and T. Hagiwara, *Robust controller synthesis with parameter-dependent Lyapunov variables: A dilated LMI approach*, IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (Las Vegas, Nevada), December 2002, pp. 4179–4184.
- [EH04] \_\_\_\_\_, *New dilated LMI characterizations for continuous-time multiobjective controller synthesis*, Automatica **40** (2004), no. 11, 2003–2009.
- [EPA09] Y. Ebihara, D. Peaucelle, and D. Arzelier, *Robust performance analysis of uncertain discrete-time linear systems based on system lifting and LMIs*, European J. of Control **16** (2009), no. 6, 532–544.
- [EPA11] \_\_\_\_\_, Periodically time-varying memory state-feedback controller synthesis for discrete-time linear systems, Automatica **47** (2011), no. 1, 14–25.
- [EPAH05] Y. Ebihara, D. Peaucelle, D. Arzelier, and T. Hagiwara, *Robust performance analysis of linear time-invariant uncertain systems by taking higher-order time-derivatives of the states*, joint IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference (Seville, Spain), December 2005, In Invited Session "LMIs in Control".
- [FS02] E. Fridman and U. Shaked, *A descriptor system approach to*  $H_{\infty}$  *control of time-delay systems*, IEEE Trans. on Automat. Control **47** (2002), 253–270.

[GdOB02] J.C. Geromel, M.C. de Oliveira, and J. Bernussou, *Robust filtering of discrete-time linear systems with* 

parameter dependent Lyapunov functions, SIAM J. Control and Optimization **41** (2002), 700–711.

- [GKB07] J.C. Geromel, R.H. Korogui, and J. Bernussou,  $H_2$  and  $H_{\infty}$  robust output feedback control for continuous time polytopic systems, IET Control Theory & Applications **1** (2007), no. 5, 1541–1549.
- [HL03] D. Henrion and J.B. Lasserre, *GloptiPoly: global optimization over polynomials with Matlab and SeDuMi*, ACM trans. on Mathematical Software **29** (2003), no. 2.
- [Las01] J.B. Lasserre, *Global optimization with polynomials and the problem of moments*, SIAM J. on Optimization **11** (2001), no. 3, 796–817.
- [Las02] \_\_\_\_\_, Semidefinite programming vs. LP relaxations for polynomial programming, Math. Oper. Res. 27 (2002), 347–360.
- [Las06] \_\_\_\_\_, *A sum of squares approximation of nonnegative polynomials*, SIAM J. on Optimization **16** (2006), no. 3, 751–765.
- [NN94] Y. Nesterov and A. Nemirovskii, *Interior-point polynomial algorithms in convex programming*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 1994.
- [OBG99] M.C. de Oliveira, J. Bernussou, and J.C. Geromel, *A new discrete-time stability condition*, Systems & Control Letters **37** (1999), no. 4, 261–265.
- [OG05] M.C. de Oliveira and J.C. Geromel, *A class of robust stability conditions where linear parameter dependence of the lyapunov function is a necessary condition for arbitrary parameter dependence*, Systems & Control Letters **54** (2005), no. 11, 1131–1134.

[OGH99] M.C. de Oliveira, J.C. Geromel, and L. Hsu, LMI characterization of structural and robust stability: The

discrete-time case, Linear Algebra and its Applications **296** (1999), no. 1-3, 27–38.

- [OP06] R.C.L.F. Oliveira and P.L.D. Peres, *LMI conditions for robust stability analysis based on polynomially parameter-dependent Lyapunov functions*, Systems & Control Letters **55** (2006), 52–61.
- [PA01] D. Peaucelle and D. Arzelier, An efficient numerical solution for  $H_2$  static output feedback synthesis, European Control Conference (Porto, Portugal), September 2001, pp. 3800–3805.
- [PABB00] D. Peaucelle, D. Arzelier, O. Bachelier, and J. Bernussou, *A new robust D-stability condition for real convex polytopic uncertainty*, Systems & Control Letters **40** (2000), no. 1, 21–30.
- [Par03] P.A. Parillo, Semidefinite programming relaxations for semialgebraic problems, Mathematical Programing
   96 (2003), no. 2, 293–320.
- [PDSV09] Goele Pipeleers, Bram Demeulenaere, Jan Swevers, and Lieven Vandenberghe, *Extended LMI characterizations for stability and performance of linear systems*, Systems & Control Letters **58** (2009), no. 7, 510 – 518.
- [PG05] D. Peaucelle and F. Gouaisbaut, *Discussion on "Parameter-dependent Lyapunov functions approach to stability analysis and design for uncertain systems with time-varying delay"*, European J. of Control **11** (2005), no. 1, 69–70.
- [PPSP04] S. Prajna, Papachristodoulou, P. Seiler, and P.A. Parillo, SOS-TOOLS: Sum of squares optimization toolbox for matlab, user's guide ver 2.00, URL www.cds.caltech.edu/sostools, 2004.
- [PS09] D. Peaucelle and M. Sato, *LMI tests for positive definite polynomials: Slack variable approach*, IEEE
   Trans. on Automat. Control **54** (2009), no. 4, 886 891.

- [Sch05] C.W. Scherer, *Relaxations for robust linear matrix inequality problems with verifications for exactness*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **27** (2005), no. 2, 365–395.
- [Sch06] \_\_\_\_\_, *LMI relaxations in robust control*, European J. of Control **12** (2006), 3–29.
- [SH06] C.W. Scherer and C.W.J. Hol, *Matrix sum-of-squares relaxations for robust semi-definite programs*, Mathematical Programing **107** (2006), no. 1-2, 189–211.
- [TGGdSJQ11] S. Tarbouriech, G. Garcia, J.M. Gomes da Silva Jr., and I. Queinnec, *Stability and stabilization of linear systems with saturating actuators*, Spingler-Verlag, London, 2011.
- [TPAE13] J.-F. Tregouët, D. Peaucelle, D. Arzelier, and Y. Ebihara, *Periodic memory state-feedback controller: New formulation, analysis and design results*, IEEE Trans. Aut. Control (2013).