On Human Motion Imitation by Humanoid Robot
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Abstract—In this paper, the imitation of human captured motions by a humanoid robot is considered. The main objective is to reproduce an imitated motion which should be as close as possible to the original human captured motion. To achieve this goal, the imitation problem is formulated as an optimization problem and the physical limits of the humanoid robot are considered as constraints. The optimization problem is then solved recursively by using an efficient dynamics algorithm, which allows the calculation of the gradient function with respect to the control parameters analytically. The experimental validation on the humanoid robot HRP2 has pointed out that the imitated motions preserve the salient characteristics of the original human captured motion. Moreover the optimization procedure converges well thanks to the analytical calculation of the gradient function.

I. INTRODUCTION

Imagining a humanoid robot collaborates with humans to execute some daily tasks is now reality. Actually, the ability of humanoid robots to execute complex tasks increases rapidly. In order to increase the autonomous behavior of humanoid robots as well as improving their reactivity, the humanoid robot should be able to imitate human motions.

In recent years, the imitation of human motions by humanoid robots was an active research field. Pollard et al [1] have proposed a method to transform a dance captured motion to a motion that the humanoid robot can execute. Nakaoka et al [2] have realized a whole body control of humanoid robot to imitate Jongara-Bushi dance that is a traditional Japanese folk dance. To maintain the dynamical stability of humanoid robot, they control the trajectory of Zero Moment Point (ZMP) [3] to be inside the polygon of support. Safonova et al [4] use also a pre-recorded human motion to generate optimal motion of the upper body of Sarcos humanoid robot. The function to be minimized is the difference between the recorded and executed motion by the robot. However, the previous methods do not consider some physical limits of humanoid robot, e.g. torque limits. Ruchanurucks et al [5] have proposed a method to optimize upper body motion of humanoid robot in order to imitate a human record motion. Their objective function preserves the main characteristics of the original motion, and at the same time it respects the physical constraints of the humanoid robot. However, the authors have mentioned that the resulting trajectories would meet the latter limits while the former limits are often violated. This is because their method considers the velocity and force constraints separately.

In this paper, our objective is to generate a motion within the humanoid physical capabilities from a human captured motion. The physical limits are the angle, joint velocity and torque limits of the humanoid robot. We focus on the imitation of upper body motion. On the other hand, the motion of lower body can be efficiently generated using leg motion primitives [6], [7]. Concerning the dynamical stability of humanoid robot, it can be guaranteed by controlling the ZMP trajectory [8].

The main contribution of this paper is providing an optimization framework to generate the upper body motion of humanoid robot from human captured motions. The generated motions imitate the original human captured motion, and at the same time they respect the physical limits of humanoid robot.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II the imitation problem is formulated. In Section II a pre-processing procedure for human captured motion is explained. An overview of the algorithm of recursive multibody dynamics is given in Section IV. In Section V the optimization problem is reformulated using the notations of recursive multibody algorithm which is explained in the previous section. In Section VI discretizing the configuration space and solving the optimization problem are explained. Some experimental results are given in Section VII and Section VIII concludes the paper.

II. IMITATION PROBLEM FORMULATION

The kinematic structure of the humanoid robot HRP-2 [9] is given in Fig. 1. In this structure the degree of freedoms are presented by cylinders. The structure contains 30 degree of freedoms.

The inputs of the imitation procedure are human captured motions. These motions are provided by a motion capture system as a skeleton of virtual actor and a sequence of the angular values of the virtual actor’s joints.

Generally, the virtual actor has more degree of freedoms than the humanoid robot and as well its links lengths are
The imitation problem, from a kinematic point of view, is well known in computer graphics and it is called motion retargeting [10]. The motion retargeting problem is formulated as follows

\[
\min_{\mathbf{q}_t} \int_0^{v_f} \left\{ (\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}^c) \mathbf{P}_t^c - (\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}^c) \mathbf{P}_t + (\mathbf{P}_t - \mathbf{P}_t^c) \mathbf{P}_t \right\} dt
\]

subject to

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{q}_{t_0} &= \mathbf{q}_0, \quad \mathbf{q}_{t_f} = \mathbf{q}_f \\
\mathbf{q}^- &\leq \mathbf{q}_t \leq \mathbf{q}^+ \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \mathbf{q}^- \) and \( \mathbf{q}^+ \) are the minimal and maximal values of the vector \( \mathbf{q}_t \) respectively.

The retargeting problem has been extremely studied in computer graphics during the last years, and we have actually many commercial graphic softwares that can solve it efficiently.

However, in the motion imitation by a humanoid robot additional difficulties arise such as the joints velocity and the torque limits.

By taking into account those additional constraints, the motion imitation problem becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{\mathbf{q}_t, \dot{\mathbf{q}}_t, \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_t} & \int_0^{v_f} \left\{ (\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}^c) \mathbf{P}_t + (\mathbf{q}_t - \mathbf{q}^c) \mathbf{P}_t^c - (\mathbf{P}_t - \mathbf{P}_t^c) \mathbf{P}_t \right\} dt \\
\text{subject to} & \\
\mathbf{M} (\mathbf{q}_t) \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_t + \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{q}_t, \dot{\mathbf{q}}_t) &= \tau_t \\
\mathbf{q}_{t_0} &= \mathbf{q}_0, \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{t_0} = 0, \quad \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_{t_0} = 0 \\
\mathbf{q}_{t_f} &= \mathbf{q}_f, \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{t_f} = 0, \quad \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_{t_f} = 0 \\
\tau^- &\leq \tau_t \leq \tau^+ \\
\mathbf{q}^- &\leq \mathbf{q}_t \leq \mathbf{q}^+ \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \tau_t \) is the vector of the applied torques on the humanoid robot’s joints. \( \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{q}_t) \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_t + \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{q}_t, \dot{\mathbf{q}}_t) = \tau_t \) is the dynamic equation of the motion.

III. PRE-PROCESSING HUMAN CAPTURED MOTION

The main challenging issue in the imitation of human captured motion is the fast dynamic. On account of the physical limits of the humanoid robot and the capacities of the motors in its joints, it is not able to follow a fast and highly dynamic motion.

Therefore, taking the human captured motion as an initial solution for the optimization problem (3) might yield a motion, that is very different from the original one. In order to obtain a good initial guess for the optimization problem (3), one can slow down the captured motion.

Let us consider that we have a captured motion of length \( N \) samples and the sampling frequency of this motion is \( f \) (e.g \( f = 120 \text{ Hz} \)). Let us denote \( \mathbf{q}^c(n) \) the vector of joint values which corresponds to the sample number \( n \).

A simple algorithm to transform the human captured motion into a motion within the joint velocity limits of the humanoid robot is given by the following pseudo code

**Input:** \( n \leftarrow 1 \)

while \( n \leq N - 1 \) do

Calculate \( \Delta \mathbf{q}^c \leftarrow |\mathbf{q}^c(n + 1) - \mathbf{q}^c(n)|; \)

**Input:** \( \Delta t \leftarrow \frac{1}{f} \)

while \( \frac{\Delta \mathbf{q}^c}{\Delta t} > \dot{\mathbf{q}}^+ \) do

\( \Delta t \leftarrow \Delta t + \frac{1}{f}; \)

end

\( n \leftarrow n + 1; \)

end

**Algorithm 1:** Time re-parameterization of the human captured motion

Recall that \( \dot{\mathbf{q}}^+ \) is the maximal value of humanoid robot’s joint velocity vector. Fig. 2.b shows the obtained motion after the application of time re-parameterization on the original human captured motion Fig. 2.a.

IV. RECURSIVE MULTIBODY DYNAMICS

Solving the optimization problem (3) is generally difficult on account of the complexity of the dynamic equation of motion, and the implicit relation between the vector of applied torques \( \tau_t \) and the vector of joints positions \( \mathbf{q}_t \).
The objective of this section is giving an overview of an efficient recursive algorithm for multibody dynamics, which allows the calculation of the gradient function of the dynamic equation analytically.

Park et al [11] have proposed to write the recursive multibody dynamics for serial open or branched kinematic chains using Lie group and Lie algebra. The main advantage of this formulation is to relate the joint torques and joint angles explicitly. Therefore the differentiation of joint torques with respect to joint angles can be done analytically.

Let us define the Lie groups SO(3) and SE(3), which denote the orthonormal matrix Θ in \( \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \) and the homogeneous transformation group respectively. The Lie algebra of SO(3) and SE(3) are denoted so(3) and se(3) respectively. The operators defined on these groups are: skew, matrix exponential, adjoint map \( Ad_G(.) \), dual adjoint \( Ad^*_G(.) \), Lie bracket \( ad(.) \) and dual Lie bracket \( ad^*_x(.) \). For more details on Lie group, Lie algebra and the operators definitions see Appendix.

A. Forward Kinematics

The kinematics of an open chain can be modeled as a sequence of homogeneous transformation between consecutive joint frames. Let \( T_{i-1,i} \in SE(3) \) be the transformation matrix between the frame of link \( i \) and the frame of link \( i-1 \). The matrix \( T_{i-1,i} \) can be written using matrix exponential notation as follows

\[
T_{i-1,i} = M_i e^{S q_i}
\]

where \( S_i \in se(3) \) is the joint screw written in the coordinate of link \( i-1 \), \( q_i \) is the current position of joint \( i \) and \( M_i \) is the coordinate transformation between link \( i \) and link \( i-1 \).

Using the above definition of transformation matrix, the end-effector of a kinematic chain can be calculated by the product

\[
T_{0,n} = T_{0,1} T_{1,2} \cdots T_{n-1,n} = M_1 e^{S_1 q_1} M_2 e^{S_2 q_2} \cdots M_n e^{S_n q_n}
\]

Note that by expressing the matrix of transformation in exponential form, we can calculate its derivative with respect to \( q_i \) analytically.

B. Recursive inverse dynamics of branched chains

Branched chains are serial open chains with two or more branches leading to two or more tip links [11], [12]. In the branched chains two definitions arise:

- **Parent link**: the link inward (towards the base) from a given link.
- **Child link**: the link or links which are outward (towards the tips) from a given link.

**Spatial velocity of branched chains**:

- **Initialization**: Given \( V_0 \).
- **Outward recursion**: loop over all links in reversed breadth

\[
T_{P_j} = M_i e^{S q_i}
\]

\[
V_i = Ad_{T_{P_j}}(V_P) + S_i q_i
\]

\[
a_i = -ad_{S q_i}(V_i)
\]

\[
b_i = -ad_{V_i}(J_i V_i)
\]

where the index \( P \) denotes the parent link of link \( i \), \( T_{P_j} \) designs the mapping from the link \( i \) to its parent \( P \) and \( V_P \) denotes the spatial velocity of link \( P \).

**Applied torques on the branched chains**: In order to calculate the inward recursion of forces and torques, we define the external forces applied on a link \( j \) by \( \dot{F}_j \).

- **Initialization**: Given the external applied forces on each link \( \dot{F}_i \), \( V_0 \) and \( J_i = 0 \) for each tip link.

- **Inward recursion**: loop over all links in reversed breadth

\[
\dot{V}_i = Ad_{T_{P_j}}(\dot{V}_P) + S_i \dot{q}_i + a_i
\]

\[
J_i = J_i + \sum_{j \in C} Ad^*_{T_{P_j}} J_j Ad_{T_{P_j}^{-1}}
\]

\[
B_i = b_i + \sum_{j \in C} Ad^*_{T_{P_j}} z_j
\]

\[
z_i = \dot{J}_i (S_i \dot{q}_i + a_i) + \sum_{j \in C} Ad^*_{T_{P_j}} \dot{F}_j
\]

\[
F_i = \dot{J}_i Ad_{T_{P_j}} (\dot{V}_P) + z_i
\]

\[
\tau_i = S^T_i F_i
\]

where \( C \) denotes the child links for link \( i \), and \( \tau_i \) is the torque applied on the joint \( i \).

The matrix \( J_i \) is called the spatial inertia and it is defined as follows

\[
J_i = \begin{bmatrix}
I_i - m_i [r_i]^2 & m [r_i] \\
-m [r_i] & m.1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( I_i \) is the inertia of the link \( i \) about its center of mass and \( m \) is its mass. \( r_i \) is the vector from the point of application of the force and the center of mass of the link \( i \). Recall that \( [r_i] \) is the skew operator see Appendix for more details.

V. Optimization Problem Reformulation

Using Eq. (7), the dynamic equation of motion

\[
M(q_t) \ddot{q}_t + C(q_t, \dot{q}_t) = \tau_t
\]

can be rewritten as follows

\[
S^T F_t = \tau_t
\]

where \( \tau_t, F_t \) and \( S \) are defined as follows

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\tau_{1,t} \\
\tau_{2,t} \\
\vdots \\
\tau_{n,t}
\end{bmatrix}, F_t = \begin{bmatrix}
F_{1,t} \\
F_{2,t} \\
\vdots \\
F_{n,t}
\end{bmatrix}, S = \begin{bmatrix}
S_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & S_2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & S_n
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\( \tau_t \) and \( F_t \) are the vectors of the applied torques and forces on the humaniod robot’s joints respectively. \( \tau_{i,t} \) and \( F_{i,t} \) denote the value of the applied torque and force on the joint \( i \) respectively.

In order to transform this optimization problem into a classical
optimization problems, let us define
\[
X^*_t = [q^T_t \; \dot{q}_t^T \; \ddot{q}_t^T]^T
\]
\[
L(X_t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \left\{ (q_t - q^*_t)^T (q_t - q^*_t) + \cdots \right\} dt
\]
\[
\sigma (P_t - P^*_t)^T (P_t - P^*_t)
\]
\[
G(X_t) = \begin{bmatrix}
\tau_t - \tau^+_t \\
- \tau_t + \tau^+_t \\
\dot{q}_t - \dot{q}^+_t \\
\dot{q}_t + \dot{q}^+_t \\
\ddot{q}_t - \ddot{q}^+_t \\
\ddot{q}_t + \ddot{q}^+_t
\end{bmatrix}, 
H(X_t) = \begin{bmatrix}
\tau_t - S^T F_t \\
q_{t_0} - q_0 \\
\dot{q}_{t_0} - \dot{q}_0 \\
\ddot{q}_{t_0} - \ddot{q}_0 \\
q_{t_f} - q_f \\
\dot{q}_{t_f} - \dot{q}_f \\
\ddot{q}_{t_f} - \ddot{q}_f
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Thus the optimization problem (3) can be transformed into the following classical form
\[
\min_{X_t} L(X_t) \\
\text{subject to} \\
H(X_t) = 0 \\
G(X_t) \leq 0
\]
The above optimization problem has been extremely studied in the literature of optimization theory. To solve this optimization problem, one can use the augmented Lagrange multiplier method, which is a very efficient and reliable method [13]. Using the augmented Lagrange multiplier method transforms the optimization problem (12) to the minimization of the following function
\[
\min_{X_t, \lambda} L(X_t, \lambda) = L(X_t) + \lambda^T \psi + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^T \psi + \lambda^T H + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^T H^T H
\]
where \( \lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^T \psi \; \lambda^T H \end{bmatrix}^T \), \( \psi = \max \{ G(X_t), \sigma \} \). Then there exist \( \lambda^* \) such that \( X_t^* \) is a local minimum of the unconstrained \( \hat{L}(X_t, \lambda^*) \) for all \( \sigma \) smaller than some finite \( \hat{\sigma} \).

To solve the unconstrained optimization problem of \( L(X_t, \lambda) \) with respect to \( X_t \), one can use Gauss-Newton method. Note that the function \( \hat{L}(X_t, \lambda) \) is differentiable in \( X_t \) if and only if \( L(X_t) \), \( H(X_t) \) and \( G(X_t) \) are differentiable in \( X_t \), and in this case we can write
\[
\frac{\partial \hat{L}(X_t, \lambda)}{\partial X_t} = \frac{\partial L(X_t)}{\partial X_t} + (\lambda^T + \sigma H)^T \frac{\partial H(X_t)}{\partial X_t} + \max \{ 0, \lambda^T + \sigma G(X_t) \}^T \frac{\partial G(X_t)}{\partial X_t}
\]
As \( \lambda^* \) is unknown, an update rule is used
\[
\hat{\lambda}_H^{k+1} = \lambda_H^k + \sigma H(X_t^k) \\
\hat{\lambda}^*_k = \lambda^*_k + \sigma \psi(X_t^k)
\]
where \( X_t^k \) is the unconstrained minimum of \( \hat{L}(X_t, \lambda^*) \). Such updating rule will generate a sequence \( \lambda^*_k \) that will converge to \( \lambda^* \) [14]. In practice, a good schedule is to choose a moderate \( \sigma^0 \), and increase it as follows
\[
\sigma^{k+1} = \alpha \sigma^k
\]
where \( \alpha \) is between 5 and 10. A threshold \( \hat{\sigma} \) is chosen and the update rule of \( \sigma \) stops when \( \sigma^k \) becomes higher than \( \hat{\sigma} \).

For more details on the algorithm of augmented Lagrange multiplier method see [15], [13], [14].

Approximating the gradient function \( \frac{\partial L(X_t, \lambda)}{\partial X_t} \) by a numerical difference method is usually used in practice. However, this approach is not only a time consuming method on account of the evaluation of the gradient calculation, but also may not converge well because of the approximation.

As we have mentioned the main advantage of using the recursive dynamic algorithm explained in Section IV-B is calculating the gradient function analytically in a recursive way.

A. Gradient calculation

The objective is to calculate the gradient of the dynamic quantities. By considering the vector of parameters \( X_t = [q^T_t \; \dot{q}^T_t \; \ddot{q}^T_t]^T \), let us start by calculating the derivatives of the operators with respect to an element \( x \) of \( X_t \)
\[
\frac{\partial T_{0,n}}{\partial x} = T_{0,i} (S_{i,q_t}) T_{i,n} \\
\frac{\partial A_{d_{i,j}}}{\partial x} = \text{ad} (A_{d_{i,j}}) (S_{i,q_t}) + A_{d_{i,j}} (\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}) \\
\frac{\partial A_{d_{i,j}}}{\partial x} = \text{ad} (A_{d_{i,j}}) (S_{i,q_t}) + A_{d_{i,j}} (\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}) \\
\frac{\partial ad_f (Y)}{\partial x} = \text{ad} (ad_f) (Y) + ad_f (\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}) \\
\frac{\partial ad_f (Y)}{\partial x} = \text{ad} (ad_f) (Y) + ad_f (\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}) \\
\frac{\partial ad_f (Y)}{\partial x} = \text{ad} (ad_f) (Y) + ad_f (\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x})
\]
where \( \delta_{1,2} \) is the Kronecker delta defined as follows
\[
\delta_{1,2} = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

The calculation of the gradient with respect to \( X_t \) can be done in a recursive way analogously to the recursive dynamic calculation.

Forward recursion:
- Initialization: Given \( \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial x} \), loop over all links in depth manner:
\[
\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial X_i} = \frac{\partial A_{d_{i,j}}}{\partial X_i} (V_f) + S_j \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial X_i} \\
\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial X_j} = \frac{\partial a_{d_{i,j}}}{\partial X_i} (V_f) \\
\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial X_i} = - \frac{\partial a_{d_i}}{\partial X_i} (J V_i)
\]

Backward recursion:
- Initialization: Given \( \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial x} \).
• loop over all links in reversed breadth

\[
\frac{\partial \dot{Y}_i}{\partial X_i} = \frac{\partial A_{d_{r_{i,j}}}^{-1}(V_P)}{\partial X_i} + S_i \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_i}{\partial X_i} + \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial X_i} \\
\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial X} = \sum_{j \in C} \frac{\partial A_{d_{r_{i,j}}} x \bar{f}_{j} A_{d_{r_{i,j}}}^{-1}}{\partial X_i} + \cdots \\
A_{d_{r_{i,j}}} x \bar{f}_{j} A_{d_{r_{i,j}}}^{-1} = \frac{\partial A_{d_{r_{i,j}}} x \bar{f}_{j}}{\partial X_i} A_{d_{r_{i,j}}}^{-1} A_{d_{r_{i,j}}}^{-1} + A_{d_{r_{i,j}}}^{-1} \frac{\partial A_{d_{r_{i,j}}} x \bar{f}_{j}}{\partial X_i} A_{d_{r_{i,j}}}^{-1} \\
\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial X_i} = \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial X_i} + \sum_{j \in C} \frac{\partial A_{d_{r_{i,j}}} x \bar{f}_{j}}{\partial X_i} F_j \\
\frac{\partial \tau_i}{\partial X_i} = S_i ^T \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial X_i}
\]

where as we mentioned C denotes the child links for link i.

VI. DISCRETIZATION OF CONFIGURATION SPACE

It is well known that the space of the admissible solutions of the minimization problem (3) is very large. In order to transform this infinite dimensional space to a finite one, we can use a basis of shape functions.

Let us consider a basis of shape functions \( B_i \) that is defined as follows

\[
B_i = [B_i^1 \ B_i^2 \ \cdots \ B_i^n]^T
\]

where \( B_i^l \) denotes the value of shape function number \( i \) at the instant \( t \), the dimension of \( B_i \) is \( l \) defines the dimension of the shape function basis.

The projection of the vector of angular values \( \tilde{q}_i \) into the basis of shape functions \( B_i \) can be given by the following formula

\[
\tilde{q}_i = Q_B B_i
\]

where \( Q_B \) is a constant matrix.

The derivative \( \dot{\tilde{q}}_i \) and \( \ddot{\tilde{q}}_i \) can be written as follows

\[
\dot{\tilde{q}}_i = Q_B \dot{B_i} \\
\ddot{\tilde{q}}_i = Q_B \ddot{B_i}
\]

In this case, the derivative with respect to each element \( Q_B(i,j) \) of the matrix \( Q_B \) can be computed using the following formula

\[
\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial Q_B(i,j)} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_i} \times \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial Q_B(i,j)} \\
= \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_i} \times (e_i \otimes \begin{bmatrix} B_i^1 \\ \vdots \\ B_i^n \end{bmatrix})
\]

where \( e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \)

and \( \otimes \) denotes Kronecker’s product operator.

By using the discretization of the configuration space, the problem of optimization transforms into the problem of finding the optimal matrix \( Q_B \), which minimizes the function \( \tilde{L}(X, \lambda) \) in Eq. (13).

It remains to define the shape functions \( B_i \). In our case, the shape functions should verify the following properties:

1) They are continuous.
2) Their first and second derivatives are continuous.

Therefore, we suggest to use the quartic B-spline functions.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We have chosen a boxing captured motion to validate our proposed method. As the movements of the upper body of the boxing motion is complex, so the imitation by a humanoid robot is really a challenging issue. The vertical movement of the pelvis joint is also considered, and the movements of the lower body is calculated using inverse kinematic. Snapshots of the conducted motion using OpenHRP platform [16] are presented in Fig. 3. In order to ensure the dynamical stability of humanoid robot, the trajectory of ZMP is controlled using the method of cart table model proposed by Kajita et al [8] as follows

1) The ZMP trajectory of the optimized motion is calculated.
2) The calculated ZMP trajectory is then modified and restricted to be inside the polygon of support feet.
3) Once the modified ZMP trajectory is available, we use the cart table model [8] to calculate the trajectory of the center of mass.
4) The horizontal trajectory of the free flyer (pelvis joint) is then calculated using the trajectory of the center of mass.

Fig. 2.a shows the angular position trajectory of the virtual actor’s right elbow, Fig. 2.b shows the modified trajectory after the application of the time re-parameterization algorithm explained in Section III. The optimized trajectory for the humanoid robot is given in Fig. 2.c. The optimized trajectory respects the physical limits of HRP-2 humanoid robot, which are not only the angle limits but also the joint velocity and torque limits.

However, the self collision problem is not considered in this work as shown in Fig. 5.a. Although, approximating the humanoid robot’s links by cylinders and spheres, and then consider the distance between them as an additional constraint can solve the problem of self collision, this procedure might yield an imitated motion largely different from the original human captured motion on account of the approximation.

F. Kanehiro et al [17] have proposed an efficient method to avoid the collision for a non-strictly convex objects. The
method makes use of non-strictly convex polyhedra as geometric models of the robot and the environment without any approximation. Applying this method as post-processing task can solve the problem of self collision and it yields a collision-free motion. Fig. 5.b shows the self collision avoidance of the humanoid robot’s hands by applying the method proposed by F. Kanehiro et al [17]. Snapshots of the conducted motion using the humanoid robot HRP2 is given in Fig. 4.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the human motion imitation by a humanoid robot is considered. In order to generate an imitated motion within the humanoid robot capabilities, the imitation problem is formulated as an optimization problem. The physical limits of the humanoid robot are transformed into constraints of the optimization problem, and the objective function to be minimized is the difference between the angular values of the humanoid robot’s joints and those of the virtual actor.

The experimental results have pointed out that the proposed method yields motions that preserve the salient characteristics of the original human captured motion, and at the same time they respect the physical limits of the humanoid robot.

Future work will focus on the integration of self collision avoidance into the optimization problem as an additional constraint.
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APPENDIX

A Lie group is a differentiable manifold. An example of Lie group is the orthonormal matrix Θ in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, which is called $SO(3)$. Note that this group consists of the rotation matrices in Euclidean space. Another example of Lie group is the group of homogeneous transformation which is the special Euclidean group or $SE(3)$. Given a rotation $\Theta \in SO(3)$ and translation $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the homogeneous matrix is defined as follows

$$ G = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} $$ (A-1)

An important concept associated with each Lie group is the notation of Lie algebra. The tangent space at the identity element of a Lie group is called the Lie algebra for that group. The Lie algebra of $SO(3)$ and $SE(3)$ are denoted $so(3)$ and $se(3)$ respectively.

Let us define some notations and operations on Lie groups and Lie algebra:

1) Skew operator:

$$ [\omega] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega_z & \omega_y \\ \omega_z & 0 & -\omega_x \\ -\omega_y & \omega_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} $$ (A-2)

Fig. 2. Angular position of the right elbow.
Fig. 3. Snapshots of the simulated motion.

Fig. 4. Snapshots of the conducted motion using HRP2.
(a) Obtained motion after optimization.

(b) Applying self collision avoidance [17] as post-processing task.

Fig. 5. Self collisions avoidance.

2) \( (\cdot,\cdot) \) operator:

\[
(\omega,v) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow se(3)
\]

\[
(\omega,v) = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}_{00}
\]

\[\text{(A-3)}\]

3) Matrix exponential:

\[
e^{(\omega,v)} = \exp \begin{bmatrix} \omega \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}_{00} = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\omega) \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}_{11}
\]

where

\[
\exp(\omega) = I + \frac{\sin \phi}{\phi} \omega + \frac{1 - \cos \phi}{\phi^2} \omega^2, \phi = \|\omega\|
\]

\[
\Lambda = I + \frac{1 - \cos \phi}{\phi^2} \omega + \frac{\phi - \sin \phi}{\phi^3} \omega^2
\]

\[\text{(A-4)}\]

4) Adjoint map on \( SE(3) \):

\[
Ad_G(h) : se(3) \rightarrow se(3)
\]

\[
Ad_G(h) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}_{h_0}
\]

\[\text{(A-6)}\]

where \( G \in SE(3) \) is defined as in (A-1), and \( h = (h_0,h_v) \in se(3) \).

5) Dual adjoint operator:

\[
Ad^*_G(h^*) : se(3)^* \rightarrow se(3)^*
\]

\[
Ad^*_G(h^*) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}^T \mathbf{0}^T [M] \end{bmatrix}_{F}
\]

\[\text{(A-7)}\]

where \( G \in SE(3) \), and \( h^* = (M,F) \in se(3)^* \).

6) Lie bracket operator:

\[
ad_g(h) = [g,h] = \begin{bmatrix} [g_0] \mathbf{0} \\ [g_v] \mathbf{h_v} \end{bmatrix}_{h_0}
\]

where \( g,h \in se(3) \), \( h = (h_0,h_v) \), and \( g = (g_0,g_v) \).

7) Dual Lie bracket operator:

\[
ad^*_g(h^*) = [g,h^*] = \begin{bmatrix} [g_0]^T \\ [g_v]^T \end{bmatrix} [M] F
\]

where \( g = (g_0,g_v) \in se(3) \), and \( h^* = (M,F) \in se(3)^* \).
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